



# Si View Metro Parks, Washington 2024 Community Interest and Opinion Survey Findings Report

Submitted to Si View Metro Parks by:

ETC Institute  
725 W. Frontier Lane,  
Olathe, KS 66061

December 2024



# Contents

<b>Section 1: Executive Summary</b> .....	1
<b>Section 2: Charts and Graphs</b> .....	8
<b>Section 3: Benchmarks</b> .....	43
<b>Section 4: Priority Investment Ratings (PIR)</b> .....	53
<b>Section 5: I-S Analysis</b> .....	61
<b>Section 6: Tabular Data</b> .....	65
<b>Section 7: Survey Instrument</b> .....	118



# Executive Summary

---

# Si-View Metro Parks, Washington

## Community Interest and Opinion Survey

### Executive Summary

---

## Overview

ETC Institute administered a community interest and opinion survey for Si View Metro Parks, Washington during the fall of 2024. The purpose of the survey was to help determine recreation priorities for the community.

## Methodology

ETC Institute mailed a survey packet to a random sample of households throughout Si View Metro Parks District. Each survey packet contained a cover letter, a copy of the survey, and a postage-paid return envelope. Residents who received the survey were given the option of returning the survey by mail or completing it online.

After the surveys were mailed, ETC Institute followed up with residents to encourage participation. To prevent people who were not residents of Si View Metro Parks District from participating, everyone who completed the survey online was required to enter their home address prior to submitting their survey. ETC Institute then matched the addresses entered online with the addresses originally selected for the random sample. If the address from a survey completed online did not match one of the addresses selected for the sample, the online survey was not included in the final database for this report.

The goal was to receive 400 completed surveys from households within Si View Metro Parks District. This goal was exceeded, with 428 completed surveys collected. The overall results for the sample of 428 residents have a precision of at least +/-4.6% at the 95% level of confidence.

This report contains the following:

- Executive Summary with major findings (Section 1)
- Charts showing the overall results of the survey (Section 2)
- Benchmarks (Section 3)
- Priority Investment Ratings (PIR) (Section 4)
- Importance-Satisfaction Analysis (Section 5)
- Tabular data showing the overall results for all questions on the survey (Section 6)
- A copy of the cover letter and survey instrument (Section 7)

The major findings of the survey are summarized in the following pages.

## Facilities/Programs Use

**Facilities Use:** Ninety-one percent (91%) of respondents indicated that they have visited a facility in the past year. They rated the physical condition of the facilities they visited. 43% rated excellent, 48% rated good, 9% rated fair, and 1% rated poor. For those who said they have not participated in a facility in the past year (9%), they selected barriers that have prevented them from using facilities more often. The common barriers were: use other City, State, County, or private facilities (22%), not aware of park or facility locations (17%), and lack of features we want to use (17%).

**Programs Use:** Seventy percent (70%) of respondents indicated that they have participated in a program/service in the past year. They rated the overall quality of the programs/services they participated in. 39% rated excellent, 53% rated good, 8% rated fair, and 0% rated poor. For those who said they have not participated in a program/service in the past year (30%), they selected reasons why they do not participate more often. The common barriers are: not aware of what is offered (26%), lack of programs we want to use (26%), and times the program is offered (23%).

## Outside Organization

Respondents selected the organizations that they used for recreation programs and facilities. The commonly used organizations were: Si View Metro Parks (83%), City of North Bend (79%), and Washington State parks (75%). They checked all the programs, services, and facility types they use from organizations that they checked from the previous question (Question 9). The options they use are: walking/hiking/biking on trails (86%), exercise (59%), and visiting a park playground (53%).

## Communication

Respondents selected all the ways they learn about the programs/services that are offered by Si View Metro Park District. The commonly used resources were: Si View Metro Parks Seasonal Activity Guide (80%), Si View Metro Parks website (49%), and from friends & neighbors (35%). Based on the sum of top three choices, the commonly used resources were: Si View Metro Parks Seasonal Activity Guide (75%), Si View Metro Parks website (48%), and social media (36%).

## Benefits, Importance, and Improvements to Parks and Recreation

**Support:** Respondents selected how supportive they are with the areas (listed in the survey) that Si View Metro Park District could look at addressing in order to improve the overall parks and recreation system. The most supported areas are: linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways (85%), acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (82%), and acquiring additional lands for conservation (76%). Based on the sum of top three choices, the areas most important to respondents are: acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (53%), linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways (49%), and acquiring additional lands for conservation (35%). Based on the sum of top three choices, the areas that respondents are willing to support with their tax dollars are: acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (48%), linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways (43%), and acquiring additional lands for conservation (33%).

## Additional Findings

**District Residents:** Respondents selected how familiar they are with what Si View Metro Park District provides to District residents. 25% selected extremely familiar, 40% selected moderately familiar, 24% selected somewhat familiar, 9% selected slightly familiar, and 3% selected not at all familiar.

**Satisfaction:** Respondents rated their level of satisfaction with the overall value they receive from Si View MPD. 24% are very satisfied, 46% are satisfied, 26% are neutral, 3% are dissatisfied, and 1% are very dissatisfied.

## Recreation Facilities/Amenities Needs and Priorities

**Facility Needs:** Respondents were asked to identify if their household had a need for 28 recreation facilities and amenities and to rate how well their needs for each were currently being met. Based on this analysis, ETC Institute was able to estimate the number of households in the community that had the greatest “unmet” need for various facilities.

The three facilities with the highest percentage of households that have an unmet need:

1. Natural areas
2. Paved, soft-surface, & natural trails
3. Neighborhood parks

**Facility Importance:** In addition to assessing the needs for each facility, ETC Institute also assessed the importance that residents placed on each item. Based on the sum of respondents’ top four choices, these were the four facilities that ranked most important to residents:


1. Indoor pool space
2. Natural areas
3. Paved, soft-surface, & natural trails
4. Indoor fitness & wellness spaces

**Priorities for Facility Investments:** The **Priority Investment Rating (PIR)** was developed by ETC Institute to provide organizations with an objective tool for evaluating the priority that should be placed on recreation and parks investments. The Priority Investment Rating (PIR) equally weighs (1) the importance that residents place on facilities and (2) how many residents have unmet needs for the facilities. [Details regarding the methodology for this analysis are provided in Section 4 of this report.]

Based the Priority Investment Rating (PIR), the following facilities were rated as high priorities for investment:

- Indoor pool space (PIR=200)
- Indoor fitness and wellness spaces (PIR=122)
- Natural areas (PIR=105)
- Paved, soft-surface, & natural trails (PIR=104)
- Indoor sports courts/other indoor sporting practice facilities (PIR=100)

The chart below shows the Priority Investment Rating for each of the 28 facilities assessed in the survey.



## Recreation Programs/Activities Needs and Priorities

**Programs Needs:** Respondents were asked to identify if their household had a need for 29 recreation programs and to rate how well their needs for each were currently being met. Based on this analysis, ETC Institute was able to estimate the number of households in the community that had the greatest “unmet” need for various facilities.

The three programs with the highest percentage of households that have an unmet need:

1. Farmers market
2. Community events
3. Outdoor recreation: land-based

**Program Importance:** In addition to assessing the needs for each program, ETC Institute also assessed the importance that residents placed on each item. Based on the sum of respondents’ top four choices, these were the four facilities that ranked most important to residents:


1. Aquatics programs
2. Outdoor recreation: land-based
3. Farmers market
4. Community events

**Priorities for Program Investments:** The **Priority Investment Rating (PIR)** was developed by ETC Institute to provide organizations with an objective tool for evaluating the priority that should be placed on recreation and parks investments. The Priority Investment Rating (PIR) equally weighs (1) the importance that residents place on facilities and (2) how many residents have unmet needs for the programs. [Details regarding the methodology for this analysis are provided in Section 4 of this report.]

Based the Priority Investment Rating (PIR), the following programs were rated as high priorities for investment:

- Farmers market (PIR=166)
- Outdoor recreation: land-based (PIR=156)
- Aquatics programs (PIR=143)
- Community events (PIR=131)

The chart below shows the Priority Investment Rating for each of the 29 programs assessed in the survey.





## Investment Priorities

**Recommended Priorities.** In order to help Si View MPD identify investment priorities, ETC Institute conducted an Importance-Satisfaction (I-S) analysis. This analysis examined the importance residents placed on each service and the level of satisfaction with each service. By identifying the items of high importance and low satisfaction, the analysis identified which item will have the most impact on overall satisfaction with the parks and recreation services in the future. If Si View MPD wants to improve its overall satisfaction rating, the district should prioritize investments in the items with the highest Importance Satisfaction (I-S) ratings. Details regarding the methodology for the analysis are provided in Section 5 of this report.

**Overall Priorities for the Services by Major Category.** This analysis reviewed the importance of and satisfaction with the services. Based on the results of this analysis, the items that are recommended as the top priorities in order to raise the parks overall satisfaction rating are listed below:

- Amount of pool/aquatic facility space (I-S = 0.4205)
- Quality of Si View Pool (I-S = 0.3758)

The table below shows the Importance-Satisfaction rating for the fourteen major categories of the services that were rated.

2024 Importance-Satisfaction Rating Si View MPD, WA Services						
Category of Service	Most Important %	Most Important Rank	Satisfaction %	Satisfaction Rank	Importance-Satisfaction Rating	I-S Rating Rank
<b>Very High Priority (IS&gt;0.20)</b>						
Amount of pool/aquatic facility space	50%	1	15%	13	0.4205	1
Quality of Si View Pool	43%	2	12%	14	0.3758	2
<b>High Priority (IS .10-.20)</b>						
Amount of indoor recreation space	25%	4	38%	12	0.1550	3
Public river access	19%	5	46%	10	0.1019	4
<b>Medium Priority (IS &lt;.10)</b>						
Number of trails/pathways	27%	3	76%	5	0.0652	5
Selection/diversity of recreation programs	13%	8	55%	8	0.0570	6
Quality of programs & services	11%	10	65%	6	0.0398	7
User friendliness of website	8%	11	53%	9	0.0375	8
Amount of rentable indoor recreation/meeting space	6%	12	45%	11	0.0319	9
Number of community parks	11%	9	79%	4	0.0241	10
Amount of natural open space	18%	6	87%	2	0.0239	11
Park/facility accessibility	3%	14	57%	7	0.0141	12
Maintenance of parks	17%	7	92%	1	0.0132	13
Proximity to recreation spaces	5%	13	80%	3	0.0095	14




# 2

## Charts and Graphs

# Q1. Counting yourself, how many people in your household are...

by percentage of respondents



## Q2. How familiar would you say you are with what Si View MPD provides to District residents?

by percentage of respondents

Extremely familiar

25%

Not at all familiar

3%

Slightly familiar


9%

Moderately familiar

40%


Somewhat familiar

24%




### Q3. Have you/your household participated in any programs or services during the past year?

by percentage of respondents (excluding "not provided")




### Q3a. Overall, how would you rate the overall quality of the Si View MPD programs or services you/your household have participated in during the past year?

by percentage of respondents who responded "YES" to Q3 (excluding "not provided")




### Q3b. Please check all the reasons why you have not participated or do not participate in programs or services more often.

by percentage of respondents who responded "NO" to Q3 (multiple selections could be made)



# Q4. Have you/your household visited any of parks or recreation facilities during the past year?


by percentage of respondents (excluding "not provided")






# Q4a. Overall, how would you rate the physical condition of the Si View MPD parks or recreation facilities you have visited?

by percentage of respondents who responded "YES" to Q4 (excluding "not provided")




## Q4b. Please check all the reasons why you have not visited or do not visit parks or recreation facilities more often.

by percentage of respondents who responded "NO" to Q4 (multiple selections could be made)




## Q5. How do you currently learn about the programs and services that are offered by the Si View MPD?

by percentage of respondents (multiple selections could be made)




# Q6. Which three of the sources of information do you most prefer to use to get information about Si View MPD programs and services?

by percentage of respondents who selected the items as one of their top three choices




# Q7. Please rate your satisfaction with the following services provided by Si View MPD.

by percentage of respondents (excluding "don't know")




# Q8. Which three of the items should receive the most emphasis over the next five years?

by percentage of respondents who selected the items as one of their top three choices




# Q9. Please check all of the organizations you/your household use for recreation programs and facilities.

by percentage of respondents (multiple selections could be made)



## Q9a. Please check all the program, service, and facility types you/your household use from organizations (other than Si-View MPD) you indicated you use for recreation programs and facilities in Question 9.


by percentage of respondents (multiple selections could be made)






# Q11. The following is a list of areas Si View MPD could look at addressing in order to improve the overall parks and recreation system. Please indicate how important each area is to you/your household.

by percentage of respondents




# Q12. Which three areas are most important to your household?

by percentage of respondents who selected the items as one of their top three choices




# Q13. Which three areas are you most willing to support with your tax dollars?

by percentage of respondents who selected the items as one of their top three choices




## Q14. Need for facilities/amenities.

by percentage of respondents who indicated need




# Q14. Estimated number of households who have a need for facilities/amenities.

by number of households based on an estimated 2,797 households




# Q14. Please indicate how well your needs for each facility/amenity are met.

by percentage of respondents (excluding "no need")




# Q14c. Estimated number of households whose facility/amenity needs are only “partly met” or “not met”

by number of households with need based on an estimated 2,680 households



# Q15. Which four of the facilities/amenities do you think are most important to you/your household?


by percentage of respondents who selected the items as one of their top four choices






## Q16. Need for programs/activities.

by percentage of respondents who indicated need




# Q16. Estimated number of households who have a need for programs/activities.

by number of households based on an estimated 2,797 households




# Q16. Please indicate how well your needs for each program/activity are met.

by percentage of respondents (excluding "no need")




# Q16c. Estimated number of households whose program/activity needs are only “partly met” or “not met”

by number of households with need based on an estimated 2,797 households




# Q17. Which four of the programs/activities do you think are most important to you/your household?

by percentage of respondents who selected the items as one of their top four choices




# Q18. If you had an additional \$100, how would you allocate the funds among the parks and recreation categories listed below?




# Q19. Please rate your satisfaction with the overall value your household receives from Si View MPD.

by percentage of respondents (excluding "don't know")




## Q20. What is your age?

by percentage of respondents (excluding "not provided")






### Q21. Your gender: by percentage of respondents (excluding "not provided")




## Q22. Which of the following best describes your race?

by percentage of respondents (multiple selections could be made)




# Q23. How many years have you lived in the Si View Metro Parks District?

by percentage of respondents (excluding "not provided")



## Q24. What is your total annual household income?

by percentage of respondents (excluding "not provided")



# 3


## Benchmarks

# National Benchmarks

**Note: The benchmarking data contained in this report is protected intellectual property. Any reproduction of the benchmarking information in this report by persons or organizations not directly affiliated with Si View Metro Parks Parks and Recreation is not authorized without written consent from ETC Institute.**


# Have you/your household visited any parks or recreation facilities/amenities in your community during the past year?

by % of respondents



# Please rate the overall condition of all the parks and recreation facilities/amenities you/your household have visited over the past year.


by % of respondents (excluding "not provided")






# Please check all of the reasons that prevent you from visiting parks and recreation facilities/amenities or what prevents you from visiting them more often.

by % of respondents (multiple selections could be made)




# Have you/your household participated in any recreation programs offered in your community during the past year?

by % of respondents




# Please rate the overall condition of all the recreation programs/events you/your households have visited over the past year.

by % of respondents




# If your household has not participated in any recreation programs in your community during the past year, please check all of the reasons that prevent you from participating.

by % of respondents (multiple selections could be made)




# Please rate your overall level of satisfaction with the value your household receives from the parks and recreation programs, activities, and events offered in your community.

by % of respondents (multiple selections could be made)



# Please check all the ways you currently use to learn about parks and recreation programs, activities, and events in your community.

by % of respondents (multiple selections could be made)





# 4 Priority Investment Ratings



# Priority Investment Rating (PIR)

## Overview

The Priority Investment Rating (PIR) was developed by ETC Institute to provide governments with an objective tool for evaluating the priority that should be placed on parks and recreation investments. The Priority Investment Rating was developed by ETC Institute to identify the facilities/programs residents think should receive the highest priority for investment. The Priority Investment Rating reflects the importance residents place on items (sum of top 4 choices) and the unmet needs (needs that are only being met 50% or less) for each facility/program relative to the facility/program that rated the highest overall. Since decisions related to future investments should consider both the level of unmet need and the importance of facilities/programs, the PIR weights each of these components equally.

The PIR reflects the sum of the Unmet Needs Rating and the Importance Rating as shown in the equation below:

$$\text{PIR} = \text{UNR} + \text{IR}$$

For example, suppose the Unmet Needs Rating for playgrounds is 26.5 (out of 100) and the Importance Rating for playgrounds is 52 (out of 100), the Priority Investment Rating for playgrounds would be 78.5 (out of 200).

How to Analyze the Charts:

- High Priority Areas are those with a PIR of at least 100. A rating of 100 or above generally indicates there is a relatively high level of unmet need and residents generally think it is important to fund improvements in these areas. Improvements in this area are likely to have a positive impact on the greatest number of households.
- Medium Priority Areas are those with a PIR of 50-99. A rating in this range generally indicates there is a medium to high level of unmet need or a significant percentage of residents generally think it is important to fund improvements in these areas.
- Low Priority Areas are those with a PIR below 49. A rating in this range generally indicates there is a relatively low level of unmet need and residents do not think it is important to fund improvements in these areas. Improvements may be warranted if the needs of very specialized populations are being targeted.


The following pages show the Unmet Needs Rating, Importance Rating, and Priority Investment Rating for facilities and programs.



# Unmet Needs Rating for Facilities/Amenities

the rating for the item with the most unmet need=100


the rating of all other items reflects the relative amount of unmet need for each item compared to the item with the most unmet need




# Importance Rating for Facilities/Amenities

the rating for the item rated as the most important=100

the rating of all other items reflects the relative level of importance for each item compared to the item rated as the most important




# Top Priorities for Investment for Facilities/Amenities Based on Priority Investment Rating



# Unmet Needs Rating for Programs/Activities

the rating for the item with the most unmet need=100


the rating of all other items reflects the relative amount of unmet need for each item compared to the item with the most unmet need




# Importance Rating for Programs/Activities

the rating for the item rated as the most important=100

the rating of all other items reflects the relative level of importance for each item compared to the item rated as the most important



# Top Priorities for Investment for Programs/Activities Based on Priority Investment Rating





**5**

# I-S Analysis

# Importance-Satisfaction Analysis



## Overview

Today, officials have limited resources which need to be targeted to the services that are of the most benefit to their residents. Two of the most important criteria for decision making are (1) to target resources toward the services with the highest importance to; and (2) to target resources toward those services where residents are the least satisfied. The Importance-Satisfaction (IS) rating is a unique tool that allows public officials to better understand both highly important decision-making criteria for each of the services that are assessed on the survey. This version of the Importance-Satisfaction rating is based on the services issues and utilizes the concept that public agencies will maximize overall resident satisfaction by emphasizing areas where the level of satisfaction is relatively low, and the perceived importance of the item is relatively high.

## Methodology

The rating is calculated by summing the percentage of responses for the services selected as the first, second, and third most important service for the District to emphasize. The sum is then multiplied by 1 minus the percentage of respondents who indicated they were positively satisfied with the District's performance in the related area (the sum of the ratings of 4 and 5 on a 5-point scale excluding "Don't Know" responses). "Don't Know" responses are excluded from the calculation to ensure the satisfaction ratings among the service are comparable.  $[IS = \text{Importance} \times (1 - \text{Satisfaction})]$ .

Respondents were asked to identify the service they think should receive the most emphasis from the District. Fifty percent (50%) of respondents selected **Amount of Pool/Aquatic Facility Space** as one of the most important service for the District to emphasize. With regard to satisfaction, 15% of respondents surveyed rated the District's overall performance in **Amount of Pool/Aquatic Facility Space**, as a "4" or "5" on a 5-point scale (where "5" means "Very Satisfied") excluding "Don't Know" responses. The I-S rating for Amount of Pool/Aquatic Facility Space was calculated by multiplying the sum of the most important percentages by 1 minus the sum of the satisfaction percentages. In this example 50% was multiplied by 15% (1-0.1540). This calculation yielded an I-S rating of 0.4205 which ranked first out of fourteen services categories.

The maximum rating is 1.00 and would be achieved when 100% of the respondents select an item as one of their top three choices to emphasize over the next two years and 0% indicate they are positively satisfied with the delivery of the services.



# Importance-Satisfaction Analysis



The lowest rating is 0.00 and could be achieved under either of the following two situations:

- If 100% of the respondents were positively satisfied with the delivery of the services
- If none (0%) of the respondents selected a service as one for the three most important areas for the District to emphasize over the next two years.

## Interpreting the Ratings

Ratings that are greater than or equal to 0.20 identify areas that should receive significantly more emphasis over the next two years. Ratings from 0.10 to 0.20 identify service areas that should receive increased emphasis. Ratings less than 0.10 should continue to receive the current level of emphasis.

- Definitely Increase Emphasis ( $IS \geq 0.20$ )
- Increase Current Emphasis ( $0.10 \leq IS < 0.20$ )
- Maintain Current Emphasis ( $IS < 0.10$ )

The results for Si View MPD, WA are provided on the following pages.

## 2024 Importance-Satisfaction Rating

### Si View MPD, WA

#### Services

Category of Service	Most Important %	Most Important Rank	Satisfaction %	Satisfaction Rank	Importance-Satisfaction Rating	I-S Rating Rank
<b>Very High Priority (IS&gt;0.20)</b>						
Amount of pool/aquatic facility space	50%	1	15%	13	0.4205	1
Quality of Si View Pool	43%	2	12%	14	0.3758	2
<b>High Priority (IS .10-.20)</b>						
Amount of indoor recreation space	25%	4	38%	12	0.1550	3
Public river access	19%	5	46%	10	0.1019	4
<b>Medium Priority (IS &lt;.10)</b>						
Number of trails/pathways	27%	3	76%	5	0.0652	5
Selection/diversity of recreation programs	13%	8	55%	8	0.0570	6
Quality of programs & services	11%	10	65%	6	0.0398	7
User friendliness of website	8%	11	53%	9	0.0375	8
Amount of rentable indoor recreation/meeting space	6%	12	45%	11	0.0319	9
Number of community parks	11%	9	79%	4	0.0241	10
Amount of natural open space	18%	6	87%	2	0.0239	11
Park/facility accessibility	3%	14	57%	7	0.0141	12
Maintenance of parks	17%	7	92%	1	0.0132	13
Proximity to recreation spaces	5%	13	80%	3	0.0095	14

Note: The I-S Rating is calculated by multiplying the "Most Important" % by (1-'Satisfaction' %)

**Most Important %:**

The "Most Important" percentage represents the sum of the first, second, and third most important responses for each item. Respondents were asked to identify the items they thought should be the City's top priorities.

**Satisfaction %:**

The "Satisfaction" percentage represents the sum of the ratings "5" and "4" excluding 'don't knows.' Respondents ranked their level of satisfaction with each of the items on a scale of 5 to 1 with "5" being Very Satisfied and "1" being Very Dissatisfied.

© 2024 DirectionFinder by ETC Institute



# 6

## Tabular Data

**Inside or outside of City of North Bend:**

<u>Inside or outside of City of North Bend</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Inside City of North Bend	173	40.4 %
Outside City of North Bend	255	59.6 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q1. Counting yourself, how many people in your household are...**

	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Sum</u>
number	2.8	1213
Under age 5	0.2	102
Ages 5-9	0.3	108
Ages 10-14	0.2	92
Ages 15-19	0.1	56
Ages 20-24	0.1	34
Ages 25-34	0.4	152
Ages 35-44	0.5	208
Ages 45-54	0.4	165
Ages 55-64	0.3	141
Ages 65-79	0.3	140
Ages 80+	0.0	15

**Q2. How familiar would you say you are with what Si View MPD provides to District residents?**

<u>Q2. How familiar are you with what Si View MPD provides to District residents</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Extremely familiar	105	24.5 %
Moderately familiar	172	40.2 %
Somewhat familiar	102	23.8 %
Slightly familiar	38	8.9 %
Not at all familiar	11	2.6 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q3. Have you or members of your household participated in any programs or services offered by the Si View MPD during the past 12 months?**

<u>Q3. Have your household members participated in any programs or services during past 12 months</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Yes	294	68.7 %
No	129	30.1 %
Not provided	5	1.2 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**WITHOUT NOT PROVIDED****Q3. Have you or members of your household participated in any programs or services offered by the Si View MPD during the past 12 months? (without "not provided")**

<u>Q3. Have your household members participated in any programs or services during past 12 months</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Yes	294	69.5 %
No	129	30.5 %
Total	423	100.0 %

**Q3a. Overall, how would you rate the overall quality of the Si View MPD programs or services you or the members of your household have participated in during the past 12 months?**

Q3a. How would you rate overall quality of Si View MPD programs or services your household members have participated in

	Number	Percent
Excellent	114	38.8 %
Good	153	52.0 %
Fair	22	7.5 %
Poor	1	0.3 %
Not provided	4	1.4 %
Total	294	100.0 %

**WITHOUT NOT PROVIDED**

**Q3a. Overall, how would you rate the overall quality of the Si View MPD programs or services you or the members of your household have participated in during the past 12 months? (without "not provided")**

Q3a. How would you rate overall quality of Si View MPD programs or services your household members have participated in

	Number	Percent
Excellent	114	39.3 %
Good	153	52.8 %
Fair	22	7.6 %
Poor	1	0.3 %
Total	290	100.0 %

**Q3b. Please CHECK ALL the reasons why you have not participated or do not participate in programs or services offered by Si View MPD MORE OFTEN.**

Q3b. Reasons why you have not participated or do not participate in programs or services more often

	Number	Percent
Use other City, State, County, private providers	17	13.2 %
Too far from home	1	0.8 %
Not aware of what is offered	34	26.4 %
Facilities are not well maintained	3	2.3 %
Old & outdated facilities	11	8.5 %
Lack of programs we want to use	34	26.4 %
Lack of parking	10	7.8 %
Do not feel safe at parks/facilities	1	0.8 %
Lack of ADA accessibility in offerings	1	0.8 %
Do not feel welcomed/accommodated	4	3.1 %
Fees are too high/lack of financial assistance	2	1.6 %
Lack of quality instructors/coaches	2	1.6 %
Quality of the program	4	3.1 %
Times the program is offered	29	22.5 %
Dates the program is offered	12	9.3 %
None. We participate regularly	1	0.8 %
Other	29	22.5 %
Total	195	

**Q3b-20. Other**

- "Programs" are designed for City people and do not respect rural culture or the natural environment.
- awareness of schedule
- Baby in household with two full-time adults, so limited time
- babysit in another city
- Currently not interested in taking a program; instead, we simply enjoy the parks
- I'm not interested in what is being offered, or what is offered is during times I can't participate
- lack of space
- My own busy schedule gets in the way
- NOT AGE APPROPRIATE-NEED MORE TEEN/TWEEN ACTIVITIES
- Procrastination
- Recently moved to the area
- SCHEDULE
- Since Covid I have not felt comfortable working out with others.
- Some of the offerings are costly.
- Spots being filled too fast
- Swim class sign ups are confusing.
- Too busy.
- volunteer
- WE DONT HAVE KIDS YET
- We work full-time and caring for elderly parents
- Desired programs so quickly. Limited offering.
- EVERYTHING IS FINE
- Hard to get a spot
- Have spent half my time in Oregon for the past 6 years. They have a beautiful facility there I have used
- HIKING
- I work too much, no time.
- JUST DONT
- Majority of programs are for kids
- Member of other gyms
- more pickleball court time
- New to the area
- New to the area
- not enough other participants for activities like pick up basketball
- not our routine
- other fun things to do
- pool is never opened more than an hour
- pool is old
- pool is old and does not have a lot of space
- pool is too small
- Prefer to ride bikes.
- programs fill up too fast
- Quality of camp supervisors, no adults, only teens.
- SLOTS FILL TOO FAST FOR SWIMMING

- Sometimes we don't participate e.g. in swim classes when we'd like to because there are no spots available. They book up very fast.  
The pump track at Torgerson is getting pretty worn - it's still fun but not good enough to attract us to the park. It could be much better with an update.
- Summer camps are too difficult to get in to, but the time you can get through to register they are all filled up
- Swim class sign-up is not well publicized and it's confusing.
- Tennis court (not pickleball) full on nice days. Tennant park doesn't connect well to other trails.
- Time constraints
- Too busy with our grandkids.
- too few lessons
- Too lazy to get off my 68 year old butt to join you. Would sure like to see the pool updated.
- Too small pool.
- Travel
- waitlists
- We focus on outdoor recreation with our kids - walking, hiking, mountain biking, skiing.
- work too much
- work too much

**Q4. Have you or members of your household visited any of parks or recreation facilities offered by Si View MPD during the past 12 months?**

Q4. Have your household members visited any parks or recreation facilities during past 12 months	Number	Percent
Yes	387	90.4 %
No	36	8.4 %
Not provided	5	1.2 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**WITHOUT NOT PROVIDED**

**Q4. Have you or members of your household visited any of parks or recreation facilities offered by Si View MPD during the past 12 months? (without "not provided")**

Q4. Have your household members visited any parks or recreation facilities during past 12 months	Number	Percent
Yes	387	91.5 %
No	36	8.5 %
Total	423	100.0 %

**Q4a. Overall, how would you rate the physical condition of the Si View MPD parks or recreation facilities you have visited?**

Q4a. How would you rate overall physical condition of parks or recreation facilities you have visited	Number	Percent
Excellent	165	42.6 %
Good	184	47.5 %
Fair	33	8.5 %
Poor	4	1.0 %
Not provided	1	0.3 %
Total	387	100.0 %

**WITHOUT NOT PROVIDED****Q4a. Overall, how would you rate the physical condition of the Si View MPD parks or recreation facilities you have visited? (without "not provided")**

Q4a. How would you rate overall physical condition of parks or recreation facilities you have visited

	Number	Percent
Excellent	165	42.7 %
Good	184	47.7 %
Fair	33	8.5 %
Poor	4	1.0 %
Total	386	100.0 %

**Q4b. Please CHECK ALL the reasons why you have not visited or do not visit parks or recreation facilities offered by Si View MPD more often.**

Q4b. Reasons why you have not visited or do not visit parks or recreation facilities more often

	Number	Percent
Use other City, State, County, or private facilities	8	22.2 %
Too far from home	1	2.8 %
Not aware of park or facility locations	6	16.7 %
Parks/facilities are not well maintained	1	2.8 %
Old & outdated facilities	2	5.6 %
Lack of features we want to use	6	16.7 %
Lack of parking	3	8.3 %
Lack of ADA accessibility	2	5.6 %
Facility equipment doesn't meet my needs	5	13.9 %
Do not feel welcomed/accommodated	2	5.6 %
Fees are too high/lack of financial assistance	1	2.8 %
Other	5	13.9 %
Total	42	

**Q4b-17. Other**

- Available times/dates don't work for us
- Facilities are sterile. Not designed for rural activity. They are simply designed to bring "more" people into the already overpopulated areas.  
Stop paving trails and building restrooms. Stop bringing "more" people into forested areas.
- hours open
- Lack of programs for smaller kids during after school or evening hours. Not everyone has a stay at home parent to allow them to enroll their child in a program during the day. So we have to look to other businesses for classes. For example dance classes.
- Not very interested
- physical disabilities.
- Recently moved to North Bend recently
- Sometimes the facilities are being rented for private use.
- I don't know program's offered, like Yoga or sauna, or more pool access for adults or indoor pickleball
- Bathroom and path access at South Fork Landing
- BUSY
- I HIKE
- I use the trails.



- It's my own fault, not yours. I wonder how many will check 'Lack of Parking' when in reality there is plenty of parking. Some people; many people need to just get off their butts and try something new.
- kids have gotten older
- Most are too crowded
- No interest
- No programs of interest.
- Our work schedule and family needs doesn't always leave time.
- park pool
- pool is never open
- Rock wall climbing area is not maintained.
- Times available for lap swim are so limited due to the size of the pool.
- too small for lessons
- Trails don't connect well to other area trails.
- Travel (away from the valley)
- We are not very social and don't get out much

#### **Q5. How do you currently learn about the programs and services that are offered by the Si View MPD?**

Q5. How do you currently learn about programs & services offered by Si View MPD	Number	Percent
Si View Metro Parks Seasonal Activity Guide	341	79.7 %
Si View Metro Parks website	208	48.6 %
Si View Metro Parks emails	100	23.4 %
School District communications	37	8.6 %
Program fliers/registration forms	48	11.2 %
From friends & neighbors	151	35.3 %
Snoqualmie Valley Record (newspaper)	36	8.4 %
Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Nextdoor)	140	32.7 %
At community events	37	8.6 %
Conversations with staff	22	5.1 %
Community calendars	26	6.1 %
Recreation Center bulletin boards	26	6.1 %
Signage/flyers at parks	85	19.9 %
Other	8	1.9 %
Total	1265	

#### **Q5-14. Other:**

Q5-14. Other	Number	Percent
Mail	2	25.0 %
Need better communication	1	12.5 %
Senior center newsletter	1	12.5 %
Word of mouth	1	12.5 %
Activity guide/booklet in mail	1	12.5 %
Text	1	12.5 %
Saw info about farmers market on internet	1	12.5 %
Total	8	100.0 %

**Q6. Which THREE of the sources of information listed in Question 5 do you MOST PREFER to use to get information about Si View MPD programs and services?**

Q6. Top choice	Number	Percent
Si View Metro Parks Seasonal Activity Guide	236	55.1 %
Si View Metro Parks website	63	14.7 %
Si View Metro Parks emails	34	7.9 %
School District communications	3	0.7 %
Program fliers/registration forms	1	0.2 %
From friends & neighbors	10	2.3 %
Snoqualmie Valley Record (newspaper)	7	1.6 %
Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Nextdoor)	36	8.4 %
At community events	1	0.2 %
Conversations with staff	1	0.2 %
Community calendars	5	1.2 %
Recreation Center bulletin boards	2	0.5 %
Signage/flyers at parks	3	0.7 %
None chosen	26	6.1 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q6. Which THREE of the sources of information listed in Question 5 do you MOST PREFER to use to get information about Si View MPD programs and services?**

Q6. 2nd choice	Number	Percent
Si View Metro Parks Seasonal Activity Guide	61	14.3 %
Si View Metro Parks website	95	22.2 %
Si View Metro Parks emails	53	12.4 %
School District communications	10	2.3 %
Program fliers/registration forms	18	4.2 %
From friends & neighbors	25	5.8 %
Snoqualmie Valley Record (newspaper)	12	2.8 %
Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Nextdoor)	63	14.7 %
At community events	4	0.9 %
Conversations with staff	2	0.5 %
Community calendars	8	1.9 %
Recreation Center bulletin boards	2	0.5 %
Signage/flyers at parks	19	4.4 %
None chosen	56	13.1 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q6. Which THREE of the sources of information listed in Question 5 do you MOST PREFER to use to get information about Si View MPD programs and services?**

Q6. 3rd choice	Number	Percent
Si View Metro Parks Seasonal Activity Guide	24	5.6 %
Si View Metro Parks website	49	11.4 %
Si View Metro Parks emails	54	12.6 %
School District communications	11	2.6 %
Program fliers/registration forms	12	2.8 %
From friends & neighbors	44	10.3 %
Snoqualmie Valley Record (newspaper)	12	2.8 %
Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Nextdoor)	55	12.9 %
At community events	9	2.1 %
Conversations with staff	6	1.4 %
Community calendars	14	3.3 %
Recreation Center bulletin boards	9	2.1 %
Signage/flyers at parks	30	7.0 %
None chosen	99	23.1 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**SUM OF TOP 3 CHOICES**

**Q6. Which THREE of the sources of information listed in Question 5 do you MOST PREFER to use to get information about Si View MPD programs and services? (top 3)**

Q6. Top choice	Number	Percent
Si View Metro Parks Seasonal Activity Guide	321	75.0 %
Si View Metro Parks website	207	48.4 %
Si View Metro Parks emails	141	32.9 %
School District communications	24	5.6 %
Program fliers/registration forms	31	7.2 %
From friends & neighbors	79	18.5 %
Snoqualmie Valley Record (newspaper)	31	7.2 %
Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Nextdoor)	154	36.0 %
At community events	14	3.3 %
Conversations with staff	9	2.1 %
Community calendars	27	6.3 %
Recreation Center bulletin boards	13	3.0 %
Signage/flyers at parks	52	12.1 %
None chosen	26	6.1 %
Total	1129	

**Q7. Please rate your satisfaction on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," with the following services provided by Si View MPD.**

(N=428)

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Don't know
Q7-1. Amount of indoor recreation space	8.9%	20.8%	26.6%	20.1%	2.6%	21.0%
Q7-2. Amount of rentable indoor recreation/meeting space	9.1%	17.5%	24.1%	7.5%	0.9%	40.9%
Q7-3. Proximity to recreation spaces (indoor & outdoor)	29.0%	39.0%	15.7%	1.2%	0.5%	14.7%
Q7-4. Amount of pool/aquatic facility space	4.7%	8.4%	13.8%	21.7%	36.2%	15.2%
Q7-5. Maintenance of parks (Si View Park, Tollgate Farm Park, Torguson Park, etc.)	47.4%	38.8%	4.7%	2.3%	0.2%	6.5%
Q7-6. Amount of natural open space	46.3%	33.4%	7.2%	4.0%	1.2%	7.9%
Q7-7. Number of community parks (i.e., greater than 5 acres)	31.1%	39.7%	12.6%	6.1%	0.7%	9.8%
Q7-8. Number of trails/pathways	29.7%	39.5%	13.6%	6.5%	2.3%	8.4%
Q7-9. Park/facility accessibility (ADA compliant access)	16.4%	19.6%	23.8%	2.3%	0.7%	37.1%
Q7-10. Quality of programs & services	16.6%	34.8%	24.8%	3.0%	0.5%	20.3%
Q7-11. Quality of Si View Pool	4.7%	5.1%	15.7%	25.5%	28.7%	20.3%
Q7-12. Selection/diversity of recreation programs	11.4%	30.1%	25.2%	8.9%	0.2%	24.1%
Q7-13. User friendliness of website	9.6%	30.1%	25.5%	7.2%	3.3%	24.3%
Q7-14. Public river access	14.0%	21.3%	22.0%	15.9%	4.4%	22.4%

**WITHOUT DON'T KNOW****Q7. Please rate your satisfaction on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," with the following services provided by Si View MPD. (without "don't know")**

(N=428)

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied
Q7-1. Amount of indoor recreation space	11.2%	26.3%	33.7%	25.4%	3.3%
Q7-2. Amount of rentable indoor recreation/meeting space	15.4%	29.6%	40.7%	12.6%	1.6%
Q7-3. Proximity to recreation spaces (indoor & outdoor)	34.0%	45.8%	18.4%	1.4%	0.5%
Q7-4. Amount of pool/aquatic facility space	5.5%	9.9%	16.3%	25.6%	42.7%
Q7-5. Maintenance of parks (Si View Park, Tollgate Farm Park, Torguson Park, etc.)	50.8%	41.5%	5.0%	2.5%	0.3%
Q7-6. Amount of natural open space	50.3%	36.3%	7.9%	4.3%	1.3%
Q7-7. Number of community parks (i.e., greater than 5 acres)	34.5%	44.0%	14.0%	6.7%	0.8%
Q7-8. Number of trails/pathways	32.4%	43.1%	14.8%	7.1%	2.6%
Q7-9. Park/facility accessibility (ADA compliant access)	26.0%	31.2%	37.9%	3.7%	1.1%
Q7-10. Quality of programs & services	20.8%	43.7%	31.1%	3.8%	0.6%
Q7-11. Quality of Si View Pool	5.9%	6.5%	19.6%	32.0%	36.1%
Q7-12. Selection/diversity of recreation programs	15.1%	39.7%	33.2%	11.7%	0.3%
Q7-13. User friendliness of website	12.7%	39.8%	33.6%	9.6%	4.3%

**WITHOUT DON'T KNOW**

**Q7. Please rate your satisfaction on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," with the following services provided by Si View MPD. (without "don't know")**

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied
Q7-14. Public river access	18.1%	27.4%	28.3%	20.5%	5.7%

**Q8. Which THREE of the items from the list in Question 7 should receive the MOST EMPHASIS over the next five years?**

Q8. Top choice	Number	Percent
Amount of indoor recreation space	32	7.5 %
Amount of rentable indoor recreation/meeting space	7	1.6 %
Proximity to recreation spaces (indoor & outdoor)	6	1.4 %
Amount of pool/aquatic facility space	135	31.5 %
Maintenance of parks (Si View Park, Tollgate Farm Park, Torguson Park, etc.)	25	5.8 %
Amount of natural open space	24	5.6 %
Number of community parks (i.e., greater than 5 acres)	9	2.1 %
Number of trails/pathways	30	7.0 %
Park/facility accessibility (ADA compliant access)	2	0.5 %
Quality of programs & services	9	2.1 %
Quality of Si View Pool	78	18.2 %
Selection/diversity of recreation programs	12	2.8 %
User friendliness of website	6	1.4 %
Public river access	17	4.0 %
None chosen	36	8.4 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q8. Which THREE of the items from the list in Question 7 should receive the MOST EMPHASIS over the next five years?**

Q8. 2nd choice	Number	Percent
Amount of indoor recreation space	33	7.7 %
Amount of rentable indoor recreation/meeting space	6	1.4 %
Proximity to recreation spaces (indoor & outdoor)	5	1.2 %
Amount of pool/aquatic facility space	60	14.0 %
Maintenance of parks (Si View Park, Tollgate Farm Park, Torguson Park, etc.)	24	5.6 %
Amount of natural open space	26	6.1 %
Number of community parks (i.e., greater than 5 acres)	13	3.0 %
Number of trails/pathways	43	10.0 %
Park/facility accessibility (ADA compliant access)	3	0.7 %
Quality of programs & services	18	4.2 %
Quality of Si View Pool	75	17.5 %
Selection/diversity of recreation programs	23	5.4 %
User friendliness of website	6	1.4 %
Public river access	31	7.2 %
None chosen	62	14.5 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q8. Which THREE of the items from the list in Question 7 should receive the MOST EMPHASIS over the next five years?**

Q8. 3rd choice	Number	Percent
Amount of indoor recreation space	41	9.6 %
Amount of rentable indoor recreation/meeting space	12	2.8 %
Proximity to recreation spaces (indoor & outdoor)	9	2.1 %
Amount of pool/aquatic facility space	18	4.2 %
Maintenance of parks (Si View Park, Tollgate Farm Park, Torguson Park, etc.)	25	5.8 %
Amount of natural open space	26	6.1 %
Number of community parks (i.e., greater than 5 acres)	26	6.1 %
Number of trails/pathways	41	9.6 %
Park/facility accessibility (ADA compliant access)	9	2.1 %
Quality of programs & services	21	4.9 %
Quality of Si View Pool	31	7.2 %
Selection/diversity of recreation programs	19	4.4 %
User friendliness of website	22	5.1 %
Public river access	32	7.5 %
None chosen	96	22.4 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**SUM OF TOP 3 CHOICES**

**Q8. Which THREE of the items from the list in Question 7 should receive the MOST EMPHASIS over the next five years? (top 3)**

Q8. Top choice	Number	Percent
Amount of indoor recreation space	106	24.8 %
Amount of rentable indoor recreation/meeting space	25	5.8 %
Proximity to recreation spaces (indoor & outdoor)	20	4.7 %
Amount of pool/aquatic facility space	213	49.8 %
Maintenance of parks (Si View Park, Tollgate Farm Park, Torguson Park, etc.)	74	17.3 %
Amount of natural open space	76	17.8 %
Number of community parks (i.e., greater than 5 acres)	48	11.2 %
Number of trails/pathways	114	26.6 %
Park/facility accessibility (ADA compliant access)	14	3.3 %
Quality of programs & services	48	11.2 %
Quality of Si View Pool	184	43.0 %
Selection/diversity of recreation programs	54	12.6 %
User friendliness of website	34	7.9 %
Public river access	80	18.7 %
None chosen	36	8.4 %
Total	1126	

**Q9. Please CHECK ALL of the organizations you or members of your household use for recreation programs and facilities.**

Q9. Organizations your household members use for recreation programs & facilities	Number	Percent
City of Issaquah Parks & Community Services	132	30.8 %
City of North Bend	337	78.7 %
City of Snoqualmie	233	54.4 %
King County parks	276	64.5 %
Seattle Parks & Recreation	98	22.9 %
Seattle Public Utilities (manages Rattlesnake Lake)	205	47.9 %
Si View Metro parks	355	82.9 %
Snoqualmie Valley School District programs	106	24.8 %
US Forest Service	264	61.7 %
Washington State parks	320	74.8 %
YMCAs	41	9.6 %
Community non-profit programs or leagues	58	13.6 %
Private sport leagues	72	16.8 %
Private fitness centers	116	27.1 %
Private outdoor recreation guides/companies	62	14.5 %
Libraries	238	55.6 %
Churches	72	16.8 %
Other	13	3.0 %
Total	2998	

**Q9-18. Other**

- All trails
- lighted private outdoor fields.
- Local martial arts dojo
- Neighborhood parks and walking paths
- Private indoor pickleball racquet facilities. This one of the areas you should focus on. Local and regional players would gladly pay for indoor more facilities.
- Bellevue Parks
- DNR and WDFW lands
- mountain biking (kids take lessons through Evergreen) skiing (kids take lessons at the Summit)
- Golf course
- Ocean Shores
- Outdoor market.
- Railroad museum.
- Redmond Parks and recreation



**Q9a. Please CHECK ALL the program, service, and facility types you and the members of your household use from organizations OTHER THAN SI VIEW MPD you indicated you use for recreation programs and facilities in Question 9.**

Q9a. Program, service, & facility types your household members use from organizations other than Si View

MPD	Number	Percent
Walking/hiking/biking on trails	370	86.4 %
Participating in self-led programs	86	20.1 %
Participating in group activities	116	27.1 %
Attending a community event	189	44.2 %
Visiting a park playground	228	53.3 %
Swimming	148	34.6 %
Organized sports-not in a league	59	13.8 %
League sports	85	19.9 %
Exercise	251	58.6 %
Wildlife viewing	201	47.0 %
Other	18	4.2 %
Total	1751	

**Q9a-11. Other:**

Q9a-11. Other	Number	Percent
Arts	2	11.1 %
Disc golf is really big in our household and community	1	5.6 %
Outdoor recreation (climbing, skiing, mountaineering)	1	5.6 %
Mountaineers	1	5.6 %
Golf	1	5.6 %
Camping	1	5.6 %
Biking	1	5.6 %
Dog exercise	1	5.6 %
Martial arts	1	5.6 %
Horse back ride	1	5.6 %
Running	1	5.6 %
Church activities	1	5.6 %
Washington State park campground	1	5.6 %
Trolls	1	5.6 %
Kayaking	1	5.6 %
Music event	1	5.6 %
Rain City diving	1	5.6 %
Total	18	100.0 %

**Q10. Please CHECK ALL the reasons why you or members of your household use organizations OTHER THAN SI VIEW MPD for indoor and outdoor recreation activities.**

Q10. Reasons why your household members use organizations other than Si View MPD for indoor & outdoor recreation activities

	Number	Percent
Program not offered by Si View	183	42.8 %
Programs are of higher quality	79	18.5 %
Facility not offered by Si View	115	26.9 %
Closer to residence	39	9.1 %
Friends/family participate there	99	23.1 %
Programs fit my budget	29	6.8 %
Better customer service by staff	7	1.6 %
Program times are more convenient	84	19.6 %
Program days are more convenient	52	12.1 %
Registration process is easier	19	4.4 %
More parking	24	5.6 %
Childcare available	20	4.7 %
Easier/better transportation	3	0.7 %
Other	49	11.4 %
Total	802	

**Q10-14. Other**

- better , more fun pools
- Better quality and availability of recreational swim.
- Closer to work
- Don't know about MPD. We are new in town and would have loved to receive info in the mail or otherwise! Posters in DT north bend maybe?
- For one example, the Y, hosts a large umbrella of programs/offerings with the monthly fee.
- For variety
- grand kids in sports
- Higher technical MTB trails.
- Just to expedite our whole area.
- kayak, hike, ski
- Lap pool and bike trails.
- LIMITED SWIM ACCESS AT SI VIEW; OTHERWISE JUST ADDITIONAL HIKINGS AT PARKS OUTSIDE SNO VALLEY
- Location is in close proximity to my residence
- Martial Arts for younger ages
- Our use of other organizations is mostly for using other facilities such as playgrounds, trails, and similar facilities that have different offerings.
- Pickleball participants at Si View are too competitive and not welcoming to Recreational players.
- rattlesnake, crowded
- Sometimes it's just a matter of where we are
- Swim in Issaquah when Si View is not open.
- variation
- Variety in trails.
- Variety, change of scenery
- We don't like "developed" parks. We don't like "staffed programs".
- We just haven't taken advantage of the parks offerings in recent years

- better age range options
- BETTER MARKETING/AWARENESS
- Bigger and nicer pool in Issaquah
- Camping
- cost
- different scenery
- disappointed park across street from Blueberry farm is not developed for families
- Employee benefit
- I enjoy walking and hiking in areas away from other people.
- just because it's convenient
- more days/mornings to play pickleball
- More opportunities and diversity in activities (such as scrambling).
- More variety
- Move opening. Swim classes full up very fast.
- Not that we don't use Si View MPD, we just also use the other (King County, Forest Service, etc.) for hiking and walking
- pool is never opened to public more than 1 hour
- self guided use of parks
- Swim lessons available
- The pool is too small to serve all of the families in the valley, and registration is very competitive as a result.
- Tradition
- Variety
- We don't use programs but would possibly join the pickle ball leagues that are offered.
- We haven't really used or have participated in any programs.
- Wide range of activities
- Work too much.

**Q11. The following is a list of areas Si View MPD could look at addressing in order to improve the overall parks and recreation system. Please indicate how important each area is to you and the members of your household.**

(N=428)

	Very supportive	Somewhat supportive	Not sure	Not supportive
Q11-1. Creating public river access through land acquisition, partnerships, working with private land owners, and/or easements	46.5%	21.7%	20.8%	11.0%
Q11-2. Acquiring additional lands for conservation	52.8%	22.7%	18.2%	6.3%
Q11-3. Acquiring additional lands for active recreation experiences (e.g., ballfields, playgrounds, pickleball, skateparks, bike parks, dog parks)	37.9%	32.7%	16.8%	12.6%
Q11-4. Acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (e.g., hiking, mountain biking, water-based activities, general park uses)	57.0%	24.8%	13.1%	5.1%
Q11-5. Linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways	63.1%	21.5%	11.4%	4.0%
Q11-6. Pursuing public-private partnerships on park land (e.g., rentals, leases, sponsorships)	19.4%	28.5%	40.2%	11.9%
Q11-7. Increasing carrying capacity/ expanding current infrastructure use by making improvements such as field lighting, synthetic turf conversions, expanding community buildings, site furnishings	29.4%	27.6%	30.4%	12.6%
Q11-8. Other	14.5%	0.9%	84.1%	0.5%

**Q11-8. Other**

- A community garden, that includes botanicals.
- Additional disc golf course
- Aquatic facility.
- build an 8 lane 25 yard pool with diving board
- community pool
- Don't "develop" anything more. Don't "build" anything more. Don't "pave" anything more. Leave the forest lands alone.
- INCREASING POOL CAPACITY-NEED A NEW FACILITY
- Linking Seattle trails up to Snoqualmie Pass
- More teen programs
- Need more indoor space for programs.
- NEED MORE TEEN EVENTS

- New aquatic center
- new aquatics facility
- New pool. I don't think we should be providing more river access if we can't meet the swim lesson needs. Kids should be able to take lessons multiple days in a week to help them learn.
- new swimming pool
- parking
- Please add 18 hole wooded disc golf course in our park district while designing a new 18 hole course for the South Fork Park master plan.
- Please give us non toxic playgrounds and fields
- pool
- pool
- pool
- Two ways. Again, increase indoor pickleball. And, minimize class cancellations. That has happened twice with little notice.
- update pool
- We desperately need a new pool. Very supportive of a paved trail to connect to the Eastside paved trail network. Very supportive of creating more local paved biking paths that do not require sharing the road.
- A new pool!! Allowing more times for residents to lap swim and increase available programs.
- acquire land for affordable services/housing
- Addition of covered outdoor play spaces (i.e. playgrounds), Connecting major parks (Tollgate, Torgeson, Si View, new South Fork Landing) with network of paved trails, paving more of the gravel trails (i.e. on river levees).
- Affordable or free swimming pool.
- Aquatics-repair current facility or expand program in a new location.
- bigger pool
- Build aquatic center
- Building a new aquatic facility
- Continued maintenance of existing parks and spaces
- fix /build a new pool
- IMPROVED POOL FACILITY
- linked golf cart trails
- maintenance
- More indoor play during winter, like indoor playground, though expanded and with diversity of activity.
- More infrastructure and programs around river use! For example a man made river surf wave and whitewater kayak programs like pool roll sessions and classes!
- New pool
- New pool
- New pool
- new pool and weight room
- New pool
- park bathrooms at Tollgate need help
- parking
- Partner with local Snoqualmie tribe to spread awareness and information
- Please pave Tanner Landing parking lot; the potholes there are atrocious
- pool

- pool
- pool
- pool
- pool
- pool
- pool
- pool
- Pool upgrade
- Pool
- Pickleball courts (indoor and outdoor)
- Lighted sports fields (extremely important as all residents need to drive very far for lighted sports)
- Remove all crumb rubber from turf fields as it contains 13 carcinogens known to cause cancer
- pool
- POOL/SWIMMING
- skate park lighting, covered area
- Swimming pool upgrades
- Synthetic turf.
- The pool facilities could use an upgrade in so many ways.   Parking ... locker space ... showers that don't turn off every few seconds ... more space in changing rooms.  
    Need I go on?
- update pool
- WALKING TRAILS
- Want to keep the disc golf course at south fork and it would be nice to have another course in the area!
- Website improvement

**Q12. Which THREE areas from the list in Question 11 are MOST IMPORTANT to your household?**

<u>Q12. Top choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Creating public river access through land acquisition, partnerships, working with private land owners, and/or easements	59	13.8 %
Acquiring additional lands for conservation	69	16.1 %
Acquiring additional lands for active recreation experiences (e.g., ballfields, playgrounds, pickleball, skateparks, bike parks, dog parks)	55	12.9 %
Acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (e.g., hiking, mountain biking, water-based activities, general park uses)	77	18.0 %
Linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways	63	14.7 %
Pursuing public-private partnerships on park land (e.g., rentals, leases, sponsorships)	3	0.7 %
Increasing carrying capacity/expanding current infrastructure use by making improvements such as field lighting, synthetic turf conversions, expanding community buildings, site furnishings	42	9.8 %
<u>None chosen</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>14.0 %</u>
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q12. Which THREE areas from the list in Question 11 are MOST IMPORTANT to your household?**

Q12. 2nd choice	Number	Percent
Creating public river access through land acquisition, partnerships, working with private land owners, and/or easements	47	11.0 %
Acquiring additional lands for conservation	51	11.9 %
Acquiring additional lands for active recreation experiences (e.g., ballfields, playgrounds, pickleball, skateparks, bike parks, dog parks)	59	13.8 %
Acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (e.g., hiking, mountain biking, water-based activities, general park uses)	86	20.1 %
Linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways	75	17.5 %
Pursuing public-private partnerships on park land (e.g., rentals, leases, sponsorships)	8	1.9 %
Increasing carrying capacity/expanding current infrastructure use by making improvements such as field lighting, synthetic turf conversions, expanding community buildings, site furnishings	17	4.0 %
None chosen	85	19.9 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q12. Which THREE areas from the list in Question 11 are MOST IMPORTANT to your household?**

Q12. 3rd choice	Number	Percent
Creating public river access through land acquisition, partnerships, working with private land owners, and/or easements	35	8.2 %
Acquiring additional lands for conservation	30	7.0 %
Acquiring additional lands for active recreation experiences (e.g., ballfields, playgrounds, pickleball, skateparks, bike parks, dog parks)	32	7.5 %
Acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (e.g., hiking, mountain biking, water-based activities, general park uses)	64	15.0 %
Linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways	72	16.8 %
Pursuing public-private partnerships on park land (e.g., rentals, leases, sponsorships)	11	2.6 %
Increasing carrying capacity/expanding current infrastructure use by making improvements such as field lighting, synthetic turf conversions, expanding community buildings, site furnishings	32	7.5 %
None chosen	152	35.5 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**SUM OF TOP 3 CHOICES****Q12. Which THREE areas from the list in Question 11 are MOST IMPORTANT to your household? (top 3)**

<u>Q12. Top choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Creating public river access through land acquisition, partnerships, working with private land owners, and/or easements	141	32.9 %
Acquiring additional lands for conservation	150	35.0 %
Acquiring additional lands for active recreation experiences (e.g., ballfields, playgrounds, pickleball, skateparks, bike parks, dog parks)	146	34.1 %
Acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (e.g., hiking, mountain biking, water-based activities, general park uses)	227	53.0 %
Linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways	210	49.1 %
Pursuing public-private partnerships on park land (e.g., rentals, leases, sponsorships)	22	5.1 %
Increasing carrying capacity/expanding current infrastructure use by making improvements such as field lighting, synthetic turf conversions, expanding community buildings, site furnishings	91	21.3 %
<u>None chosen</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>14.0 %</u>
Total	1047	

**Q13. Which THREE areas from the list in Question 11 are you MOST WILLING to support with your tax dollars?**

<u>Q13. Top choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Creating public river access through land acquisition, partnerships, working with private land owners, and/or easements	49	11.4 %
Acquiring additional lands for conservation	66	15.4 %
Acquiring additional lands for active recreation experiences (e.g., ballfields, playgrounds, pickleball, skateparks, bike parks, dog parks)	55	12.9 %
Acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (e.g., hiking, mountain biking, water-based activities, general park uses)	74	17.3 %
Linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways	61	14.3 %
Pursuing public-private partnerships on park land (e.g., rentals, leases, sponsorships)	3	0.7 %
Increasing carrying capacity/expanding current infrastructure use by making improvements such as field lighting, synthetic turf conversions, expanding community buildings, site furnishings	37	8.6 %
<u>None chosen</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>19.4 %</u>
Total	428	100.0 %



**Q13. Which THREE areas from the list in Question 11 are you MOST WILLING to support with your tax dollars?**

<u>Q13. 2nd choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Creating public river access through land acquisition, partnerships, working with private land owners, and/or easements	47	11.0 %
Acquiring additional lands for conservation	41	9.6 %
Acquiring additional lands for active recreation experiences (e.g., ballfields, playgrounds, pickleball, skateparks, bike parks, dog parks)	41	9.6 %
Acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (e.g., hiking, mountain biking, water-based activities, general park uses)	81	18.9 %
Linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways	70	16.4 %
Pursuing public-private partnerships on park land (e.g., rentals, leases, sponsorships)	7	1.6 %
Increasing carrying capacity/expanding current infrastructure use by making improvements such as field lighting, synthetic turf conversions, expanding community buildings, site furnishings	20	4.7 %
<u>None chosen</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>28.3 %</u>
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q13. Which THREE areas from the list in Question 11 are you MOST WILLING to support with your tax dollars?**

<u>Q13. 3rd choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Creating public river access through land acquisition, partnerships, working with private land owners, and/or easements	37	8.6 %
Acquiring additional lands for conservation	32	7.5 %
Acquiring additional lands for active recreation experiences (e.g., ballfields, playgrounds, pickleball, skateparks, bike parks, dog parks)	33	7.7 %
Acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (e.g., hiking, mountain biking, water-based activities, general park uses)	52	12.1 %
Linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways	51	11.9 %
Pursuing public-private partnerships on park land (e.g., rentals, leases, sponsorships)	7	1.6 %
Increasing carrying capacity/expanding current infrastructure use by making improvements such as field lighting, synthetic turf conversions, expanding community buildings, site furnishings	19	4.4 %
<u>None chosen</u>	<u>197</u>	<u>46.0 %</u>
Total	428	100.0 %

**SUM OF TOP 3 CHOICES****Q13. Which THREE areas from the list in Question 11 are you MOST WILLING to support with your tax dollars? (top 3)**

<u>Q13. Top choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Creating public river access through land acquisition, partnerships, working with private land owners, and/or easements	133	31.1 %
Acquiring additional lands for conservation	139	32.5 %
Acquiring additional lands for active recreation experiences (e.g., ballfields, playgrounds, pickleball, skateparks, bike parks, dog parks)	129	30.1 %
Acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (e.g., hiking, mountain biking, water-based activities, general park uses)	207	48.4 %
Linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, & greenways	182	42.5 %
Pursuing public-private partnerships on park land (e.g., rentals, leases, sponsorships)	17	4.0 %
Increasing carrying capacity/expanding current infrastructure use by making improvements such as field lighting, synthetic turf conversions, expanding community buildings, site furnishings	76	17.8 %
None chosen	83	19.4 %
Total	966	

**Q14. A variety of recreation facilities and amenities are listed below that are already offered in the area or may be offered in the future. Please indicate how well your needs for each facility or amenity are met on a scale of 1 to 4, where 4 means your needs are "Fully Met" and 1 means your needs are "Not Met" at all.**

(N=428)

	Fully met	Mostly met	Partly met	Not met	No need
Q14-1. Active adults indoor & outdoor activity areas (50+)	9.8%	16.8%	21.3%	4.4%	47.7%
Q14-2. Amphitheaters	5.1%	4.9%	9.8%	24.1%	56.1%
Q14-3. Community gardens	4.9%	4.7%	11.7%	26.4%	52.3%
Q14-4. Community recreation building/ space	10.7%	23.1%	29.2%	7.2%	29.7%
Q14-5. Diamond sports fields (e.g., baseball, softball)	22.2%	18.9%	8.2%	0.9%	49.8%
Q14-6. Disc golf courses	27.3%	13.3%	7.0%	3.5%	48.8%
Q14-7. eSports/gaming space	5.4%	2.1%	4.9%	4.9%	82.7%
Q14-8. Extreme sports (e.g., skate parks/ pump tracks)	20.3%	20.8%	14.3%	2.1%	42.5%
Q14-9. Fishing areas	12.1%	12.6%	14.7%	12.1%	48.4%
Q14-10. History museum & heritage sites	15.2%	18.0%	25.0%	7.2%	34.6%
Q14-11. Indoor fitness & wellness spaces	8.2%	15.9%	28.5%	23.8%	23.6%
Q14-12. Indoor pool space	5.4%	8.6%	28.0%	39.5%	18.5%
Q14-13. Indoor sports courts or other indoor sporting practice facilities	6.3%	9.6%	26.2%	25.2%	32.7%
Q14-14. Large community parks (regional parks that draw in users from the area)	25.0%	26.4%	19.9%	4.7%	24.1%
Q14-15. Natural areas (mostly naturally wooded, wetlands areas, or grassland areas that are left natural)	28.7%	28.3%	23.8%	4.9%	14.3%
Q14-16. Neighborhood parks (smaller parks within a 10-minute walk of neighborhoods/residential areas)	22.9%	28.3%	23.8%	6.8%	18.2%
Q14-17. Off-leash dog parks	16.4%	13.1%	20.8%	10.5%	39.3%
Q14-18. Outdoor basketball courts	12.6%	14.0%	19.4%	4.7%	49.3%

**Q14. A variety of recreation facilities and amenities are listed below that are already offered in the area or may be offered in the future. Please indicate how well your needs for each facility or amenity are met on a scale of 1 to 4, where 4 means your needs are "Fully Met" and 1 means your needs are "Not Met" at all.**

	Fully met	Mostly met	Partly met	Not met	No need
Q14-19. Outdoor fitness equipment	8.9%	7.0%	17.3%	16.4%	50.5%
Q14-20. Public art & art galleries (indoor/ outdoor)	7.7%	9.3%	18.7%	22.0%	42.3%
Q14-21. Paved, soft-surface, & natural trails	15.9%	32.0%	29.2%	7.0%	15.9%
Q14-22. Performance & rehearsal spaces (dance, theater, music, poetry)	7.0%	10.5%	18.9%	9.6%	54.0%
Q14-23. Pickleball courts (indoor & outdoor)	10.0%	11.0%	20.6%	13.3%	45.1%
Q14-24. Picnic areas & park shelters	16.6%	34.3%	22.4%	4.2%	22.4%
Q14-25. Playground areas	25.2%	26.9%	16.1%	2.6%	29.2%
Q14-26. Rectangular sports fields (e.g., soccer, football, flag football, lacrosse)	17.8%	19.9%	15.2%	4.2%	43.0%
Q14-27. Splash pads/interactive water play or features	5.6%	3.0%	6.3%	44.9%	40.2%
Q14-28. Teen indoor & outdoor activity areas	4.9%	6.3%	18.7%	19.2%	50.9%
Q14-29. Other	0.0%	0.5%	1.2%	6.1%	92.3%

**WITHOUT NO NEED**

**Q14. A variety of recreation facilities and amenities are listed below that are already offered in the area or may be offered in the future. Please indicate how well your needs for each facility or amenity are met on a scale of 1 to 4, where 4 means your needs are "Fully Met" and 1 means your needs are "Not Met" at all. (without "no need")**

(N=428)

	Fully met	Mostly met	Partly met	Not met
Q14-1. Active adults indoor & outdoor activity areas (50+)	18.8%	32.1%	40.6%	8.5%
Q14-2. Amphitheaters	11.7%	11.2%	22.3%	54.8%
Q14-3. Community gardens	10.3%	9.8%	24.5%	55.4%
Q14-4. Community recreation building/space	15.3%	32.9%	41.5%	10.3%
Q14-5. Diamond sports fields (e.g., baseball, softball)	44.2%	37.7%	16.3%	1.9%
Q14-6. Disc golf courses	53.4%	26.0%	13.7%	6.8%
Q14-7. eSports/gaming space	31.1%	12.2%	28.4%	28.4%
Q14-8. Extreme sports (e.g., skate parks/pump tracks)	35.4%	36.2%	24.8%	3.7%
Q14-9. Fishing areas	23.5%	24.4%	28.5%	23.5%
Q14-10. History museum & heritage sites	23.2%	27.5%	38.2%	11.1%
Q14-11. Indoor fitness & wellness spaces	10.7%	20.8%	37.3%	31.2%
Q14-12. Indoor pool space	6.6%	10.6%	34.4%	48.4%
Q14-13. Indoor sports courts or other indoor sporting practice facilities	9.4%	14.2%	38.9%	37.5%
Q14-14. Large community parks (regional parks that draw in users from the area)	32.9%	34.8%	26.2%	6.2%
Q14-15. Natural areas (mostly naturally wooded, wetlands areas, or grassland areas that are left natural)	33.5%	33.0%	27.8%	5.7%
Q14-16. Neighborhood parks (smaller parks within a 10-minute walk of neighborhoods/residential areas)	28.0%	34.6%	29.1%	8.3%
Q14-17. Off-leash dog parks	26.9%	21.5%	34.2%	17.3%
Q14-18. Outdoor basketball courts	24.9%	27.6%	38.2%	9.2%
Q14-19. Outdoor fitness equipment	17.9%	14.2%	34.9%	33.0%

**WITHOUT NO NEED**

**Q14. A variety of recreation facilities and amenities are listed below that are already offered in the area or may be offered in the future. Please indicate how well your needs for each facility or amenity are met on a scale of 1 to 4, where 4 means your needs are "Fully Met" and 1 means your needs are "Not Met" at all. (without "no need")**

	Fully met	Mostly met	Partly met	Not met
Q14-20. Public art & art galleries (indoor/ outdoor)	13.4%	16.2%	32.4%	38.1%
Q14-21. Paved, soft-surface, & natural trails	18.9%	38.1%	34.7%	8.3%
Q14-22. Performance & rehearsal spaces (dance, theater, music, poetry)	15.2%	22.8%	41.1%	20.8%
Q14-23. Pickleball courts (indoor & outdoor)	18.3%	20.0%	37.4%	24.3%
Q14-24. Picnic areas & park shelters	21.4%	44.3%	28.9%	5.4%
Q14-25. Playground areas	35.6%	38.0%	22.8%	3.6%
Q14-26. Rectangular sports fields (e.g., soccer, football, flag football, lacrosse)	31.1%	34.8%	26.6%	7.4%
Q14-27. Splash pads/interactive water play or features	9.4%	5.1%	10.5%	75.0%
Q14-28. Teen indoor & outdoor activity areas	10.0%	12.9%	38.1%	39.0%
Q14-29. Other	0.0%	6.1%	15.2%	78.8%

**Q14-29. Other**

- A third place, like the Third Place Books commons in Lake Forest Park or Crossroads in Bellevue. Our community could really use a multi purpose gathering place to use in the rainy months (think of the awesome farmer's market energy, but an indoor space and year round). Like a library+work space+play space+performance area+family friendly shops and food., with indoor gathering spaces connecting them. It's the perfect community for that!
- improved climbing wall indoor/or outdoor.
- lighted/lit turf fields
- MORE INCLUSION OF SNOQUALMIE TRIBAL HISTORY
- New pool
- Outdoor climbing area
- Pave pump track.
- Please don't take away an 18 hole disc golf course without adding another course in or near down town north bend
- tennis court with back board/lights
- Tween teen hangout programs
- What about areas and programs for adults without children ages 30-50?
- baseball fields should be more accessible to the public
- Climbing gym!
- Covered playground
- expanding mountain bike trails

- golf course, no more frisby or glamping
- indoor basketball courts
- Indoor play spaces for young kids, like the I door playground.
- indoor rock climbing
- Lack of tennis courts (not pickleball)
- linked golf cart trails, similar to Peachtree City, Georgia
- Mountain biking trails
- Nature/art center
- Outdoor climbing wall
- OUTDOOR POOL
- Pool
- Pool
- Pool
- pool, indoor fitness
- see prior page
- senior activities outside of midday hours
- Swimming pool
- There needs to be more early morning or evening programming for working adults who are childless. Sports leagues, fitness classes that people can attend after work, etc. Community spaces for folks who are 20-50 who want to stay active and engaged with the community but also work during the week.

**Q15. Which FOUR of the facilities and amenities listed in Question 14 do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household?**

<u>Q15. Top choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Active adults indoor & outdoor activity areas (50+)	31	7.2 %
Amphitheaters	8	1.9 %
Community gardens	11	2.6 %
Community recreation building/space	6	1.4 %
Diamond sports fields (e.g., baseball, softball)	8	1.9 %
Disc golf courses	10	2.3 %
Extreme sports (e.g., skate parks/pump tracks)	5	1.2 %
Fishing areas	3	0.7 %
History museum & heritage sites	1	0.2 %
Indoor fitness & wellness spaces	14	3.3 %
Indoor pool space	115	26.9 %
Indoor sports courts or other indoor sporting practice facilities	11	2.6 %
Large community parks (regional parks that draw in users from the area)	9	2.1 %
Natural areas (mostly naturally wooded, wetlands areas, or grassland areas that are left natural)	46	10.7 %
Neighborhood parks (smaller parks within a 10-minute walk of neighborhoods/residential areas)	4	0.9 %
Off-leash dog parks	5	1.2 %
Paved, soft-surface, & natural trails	30	7.0 %
Pickleball courts (indoor & outdoor)	15	3.5 %
Picnic areas & park shelters	2	0.5 %
Playground areas	12	2.8 %
Rectangular sports fields (e.g., soccer, football, flag football, lacrosse)	9	2.1 %
Splash pads/interactive water play or features	12	2.8 %
Teen indoor & outdoor activity areas	8	1.9 %
None chosen	53	12.4 %
Total	428	100.0 %



**Q15. Which FOUR of the facilities and amenities listed in Question 14 do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household?**

<u>Q15. 2nd choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Active adults indoor & outdoor activity areas (50+)	16	3.7 %
Amphitheaters	9	2.1 %
Community gardens	14	3.3 %
Community recreation building/space	9	2.1 %
Diamond sports fields (e.g., baseball, softball)	6	1.4 %
Disc golf courses	2	0.5 %
eSports/gaming space	3	0.7 %
Extreme sports (e.g., skate parks/pump tracks)	6	1.4 %
Fishing areas	17	4.0 %
Indoor fitness & wellness spaces	37	8.6 %
Indoor pool space	35	8.2 %
Indoor sports courts or other indoor sporting practice facilities	16	3.7 %
Large community parks (regional parks that draw in users from the area)	21	4.9 %
Natural areas (mostly naturally wooded, wetlands areas, or grassland areas that are left natural)	36	8.4 %
Neighborhood parks (smaller parks within a 10-minute walk of neighborhoods/residential areas)	19	4.4 %
Off-leash dog parks	15	3.5 %
Outdoor basketball courts	3	0.7 %
Outdoor fitness equipment	2	0.5 %
Public art & art galleries (indoor/outdoor)	4	0.9 %
Paved, soft-surface, & natural trails	24	5.6 %
Performance & rehearsal spaces (dance, theater, music, poetry)	3	0.7 %
Pickleball courts (indoor & outdoor)	7	1.6 %
Picnic areas & park shelters	4	0.9 %
Playground areas	11	2.6 %
Rectangular sports fields (e.g., soccer, football, flag football, lacrosse)	6	1.4 %
Splash pads/interactive water play or features	25	5.8 %
Teen indoor & outdoor activity areas	2	0.5 %
None chosen	76	17.8 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q15. Which FOUR of the facilities and amenities listed in Question 14 do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household?**

Q15. 3rd choice	Number	Percent
Active adults indoor & outdoor activity areas (50+)	8	1.9 %
Amphitheaters	7	1.6 %
Community gardens	13	3.0 %
Community recreation building/space	9	2.1 %
Diamond sports fields (e.g., baseball, softball)	3	0.7 %
Disc golf courses	3	0.7 %
eSports/gaming space	1	0.2 %
Extreme sports (e.g., skate parks/pump tracks)	14	3.3 %
Fishing areas	9	2.1 %
History museum & heritage sites	8	1.9 %
Indoor fitness & wellness spaces	26	6.1 %
Indoor pool space	22	5.1 %
Indoor sports courts or other indoor sporting practice facilities	14	3.3 %
Large community parks (regional parks that draw in users from the area)	23	5.4 %
Natural areas (mostly naturally wooded, wetlands areas, or grassland areas that are left natural)	26	6.1 %
Neighborhood parks (smaller parks within a 10-minute walk of neighborhoods/residential areas)	21	4.9 %
Off-leash dog parks	19	4.4 %
Outdoor basketball courts	2	0.5 %
Outdoor fitness equipment	6	1.4 %
Public art & art galleries (indoor/outdoor)	10	2.3 %
Paved, soft-surface, & natural trails	32	7.5 %
Performance & rehearsal spaces (dance, theater, music, poetry)	4	0.9 %
Pickleball courts (indoor & outdoor)	11	2.6 %
Picnic areas & park shelters	12	2.8 %
Playground areas	6	1.4 %
Rectangular sports fields (e.g., soccer, football, flag football, lacrosse)	6	1.4 %
Splash pads/interactive water play or features	12	2.8 %
Teen indoor & outdoor activity areas	10	2.3 %
None chosen	91	21.3 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q15. Which FOUR of the facilities and amenities listed in Question 14 do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household?**

Q15. 4th choice	Number	Percent
Active adults indoor & outdoor activity areas (50+)	6	1.4 %
Amphitheaters	5	1.2 %
Community gardens	13	3.0 %
Community recreation building/space	9	2.1 %
Diamond sports fields (e.g., baseball, softball)	2	0.5 %
Disc golf courses	1	0.2 %
Extreme sports (e.g., skate parks/pump tracks)	6	1.4 %
Fishing areas	10	2.3 %
History museum & heritage sites	6	1.4 %
Indoor fitness & wellness spaces	13	3.0 %
Indoor pool space	19	4.4 %
Indoor sports courts or other indoor sporting practice facilities	6	1.4 %
Large community parks (regional parks that draw in users from the area)	13	3.0 %
Natural areas (mostly naturally wooded, wetlands areas, or grassland areas that are left natural)	19	4.4 %
Neighborhood parks (smaller parks within a 10-minute walk of neighborhoods/residential areas)	16	3.7 %
Off-leash dog parks	11	2.6 %
Outdoor basketball courts	2	0.5 %
Outdoor fitness equipment	11	2.6 %
Public art & art galleries (indoor/outdoor)	11	2.6 %
Paved, soft-surface, & natural trails	28	6.5 %
Performance & rehearsal spaces (dance, theater, music, poetry)	6	1.4 %
Pickleball courts (indoor & outdoor)	9	2.1 %
Picnic areas & park shelters	6	1.4 %
Playground areas	8	1.9 %
Rectangular sports fields (e.g., soccer, football, flag football, lacrosse)	5	1.2 %
Splash pads/interactive water play or features	16	3.7 %
Teen indoor & outdoor activity areas	11	2.6 %
None chosen	160	37.4 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**SUM OF TOP 4 CHOICES****Q15. Which FOUR of the facilities and amenities listed in Question 14 do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household? (top 4)**

<u>Q15. Top choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Active adults indoor & outdoor activity areas (50+)	61	14.3 %
Amphitheaters	29	6.8 %
Community gardens	51	11.9 %
Community recreation building/space	33	7.7 %
Diamond sports fields (e.g., baseball, softball)	19	4.4 %
Disc golf courses	16	3.7 %
eSports/gaming space	4	0.9 %
Extreme sports (e.g., skate parks/pump tracks)	31	7.2 %
Fishing areas	39	9.1 %
History museum & heritage sites	15	3.5 %
Indoor fitness & wellness spaces	90	21.0 %
Indoor pool space	191	44.6 %
Indoor sports courts or other indoor sporting practice facilities	47	11.0 %
Large community parks (regional parks that draw in users from the area)	66	15.4 %
Natural areas (mostly naturally wooded, wetlands areas, or grassland areas that are left natural)	127	29.7 %
Neighborhood parks (smaller parks within a 10-minute walk of neighborhoods/residential areas)	60	14.0 %
Off-leash dog parks	50	11.7 %
Outdoor basketball courts	7	1.6 %
Outdoor fitness equipment	19	4.4 %
Public art & art galleries (indoor/outdoor)	25	5.8 %
Paved, soft-surface, & natural trails	114	26.6 %
Performance & rehearsal spaces (dance, theater, music, poetry)	13	3.0 %
Pickleball courts (indoor & outdoor)	42	9.8 %
Picnic areas & park shelters	24	5.6 %
Playground areas	37	8.6 %
Rectangular sports fields (e.g., soccer, football, flag football, lacrosse)	26	6.1 %
Splash pads/interactive water play or features	65	15.2 %
Teen indoor & outdoor activity areas	31	7.2 %
None chosen	53	12.4 %
Total	1385	

**Q16. A variety of recreation programs and activities are listed below that are already offered in the area or may be offered in the future. Please indicate how well your needs for each program or activity are met on a scale of 1 to 4, where 4 means your needs are "Fully Met" and 1 means your needs are "Not Met" at all.**

(N=428)

	Fully met	Mostly met	Partly met	Not met	No need
Q16-1. Adaptive recreation (programs/activities for persons with disabilities)	3.3%	5.4%	8.4%	5.4%	77.6%
Q16-2. Adult programs (18+)	6.8%	16.1%	33.9%	7.9%	35.3%
Q16-3. Adult sports leagues & tournaments	5.4%	14.0%	22.2%	11.2%	47.2%
Q16-4. Agriculture education & community gardens	4.4%	5.1%	20.1%	23.4%	47.0%
Q16-5. Aquatics programs (swim lessons, lap swim, competitions, etc.)	5.8%	7.7%	23.6%	32.0%	30.8%
Q16-6. Before & after school programs	6.5%	10.3%	14.7%	6.5%	61.9%
Q16-7. Community events (concerts, festivals, holiday events, etc.)	16.4%	33.6%	25.5%	5.4%	19.2%
Q16-8. Enrichment/special interest programs (language, cooking, photo, etc.)	4.0%	10.0%	25.7%	22.0%	38.3%
Q16-9. Experiential education (citizen science, first aid, survival, etc.)	2.8%	10.0%	25.7%	24.1%	37.4%
Q16-10. Extreme sports (skateboarding, BMX, rock climbing, etc.)	7.2%	11.4%	22.4%	8.2%	50.7%
Q16-11. Farmers market	35.3%	36.2%	11.4%	3.3%	13.8%
Q16-12. Fitness & wellness programs (group fitness, nutrition, etc.)	7.9%	18.2%	29.2%	10.0%	34.6%
Q16-13. Historical programs (classes, events, tours, living history, etc.)	6.1%	11.4%	23.6%	9.8%	49.1%
Q16-14. Nature programs (bird watching, stewardship programs, etc.)	4.4%	9.3%	23.6%	14.5%	48.1%
Q16-15. Outdoor programming in parks (fitness, yoga, gatherings, etc.)	3.0%	14.0%	27.8%	15.4%	39.7%
Q16-16. Outdoor recreation: land-based (hiking, cycling, mountain biking, camping, etc.)	16.4%	26.2%	25.9%	7.5%	24.1%

**Q16. A variety of recreation programs and activities are listed below that are already offered in the area or may be offered in the future. Please indicate how well your needs for each program or activity are met on a scale of 1 to 4, where 4 means your needs are "Fully Met" and 1 means your needs are "Not Met" at all.**

	Fully met	Mostly met	Partly met	Not met	No need
Q16-17. Outdoor recreation: water-based (kayaking, paddle boarding, rafting, etc.)	11.2%	18.0%	22.4%	15.0%	33.4%
Q16-18. Parent & child/family programs	6.1%	13.3%	20.3%	5.4%	54.9%
Q16-19. Performing arts programs (dance, music, theatre, etc.)	4.9%	11.2%	23.6%	9.1%	51.2%
Q16-20. Preschool programs	5.1%	7.9%	15.4%	8.2%	63.3%
Q16-21. Programs with your pet (obedience classes, agility courses, dog park programming, etc.)	4.0%	4.4%	11.2%	25.2%	55.1%
Q16-22. Recreation trips (day, overnight)	4.2%	7.9%	13.3%	14.0%	60.5%
Q16-23. Science, technology, engineering, & math (STEM) programs	2.6%	7.5%	17.5%	13.8%	58.6%
Q16-24. Senior programs (50+)	4.7%	11.0%	17.3%	8.4%	58.6%
Q16-25. Summer day camp programs	7.7%	14.0%	15.0%	5.1%	58.2%
Q16-26. Technology-based programs (eSports, Pokemon GO, geocaching, etc.)	3.5%	5.8%	9.1%	7.2%	74.3%
Q16-27. Teen (13-17) programs	3.3%	5.4%	11.9%	8.4%	71.0%
Q16-28. Visual arts (arts & crafts classes)	3.0%	9.1%	25.5%	13.8%	48.6%
Q16-29. Youth sports leagues, tournaments, & camps	9.1%	15.2%	16.4%	4.0%	55.4%
Q16-30. Other	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	1.9%	97.7%

**WITHOUT NO NEED**

**Q16. A variety of recreation programs and activities are listed below that are already offered in the area or may be offered in the future. Please indicate how well your needs for each program or activity are met on a scale of 1 to 4, where 4 means your needs are "Fully Met" and 1 means your needs are "Not Met" at all. (without "no need")**

(N=428)

	Fully met	Mostly met	Partly met	Not met
Q16-1. Adaptive recreation (programs/activities for persons with disabilities)	14.6%	24.0%	37.5%	24.0%
Q16-2. Adult programs (18+)	10.5%	24.9%	52.3%	12.3%
Q16-3. Adult sports leagues & tournaments	10.2%	26.5%	42.0%	21.2%
Q16-4. Agriculture education & community gardens	8.4%	9.7%	37.9%	44.1%
Q16-5. Aquatics programs (swim lessons, lap swim, competitions, etc.)	8.4%	11.1%	34.1%	46.3%
Q16-6. Before & after school programs	17.2%	27.0%	38.7%	17.2%
Q16-7. Community events (concerts, festivals, holiday events, etc.)	20.2%	41.6%	31.5%	6.6%
Q16-8. Enrichment/special interest programs (language, cooking, photo, etc.)	6.4%	16.3%	41.7%	35.6%
Q16-9. Experiential education (citizen science, first aid, survival, etc.)	4.5%	16.0%	41.0%	38.4%
Q16-10. Extreme sports (skateboarding, BMX, rock climbing, etc.)	14.7%	23.2%	45.5%	16.6%
Q16-11. Farmers market	40.9%	42.0%	13.3%	3.8%
Q16-12. Fitness & wellness programs (group fitness, nutrition, etc.)	12.1%	27.9%	44.6%	15.4%
Q16-13. Historical programs (classes, events, tours, living history, etc.)	11.9%	22.5%	46.3%	19.3%
Q16-14. Nature programs (bird watching, stewardship programs, etc.)	8.6%	18.0%	45.5%	27.9%
Q16-15. Outdoor programming in parks (fitness, yoga, gatherings, etc.)	5.0%	23.3%	46.1%	25.6%
Q16-16. Outdoor recreation: land-based (hiking, cycling, mountain biking, camping, etc.)	21.5%	34.5%	34.2%	9.8%

**WITHOUT NO NEED**

**Q16. A variety of recreation programs and activities are listed below that are already offered in the area or may be offered in the future. Please indicate how well your needs for each program or activity are met on a scale of 1 to 4, where 4 means your needs are "Fully Met" and 1 means your needs are "Not Met" at all. (without "no need")**

	Fully met	Mostly met	Partly met	Not met
Q16-17. Outdoor recreation: water-based (kayaking, paddle boarding, rafting, etc.)	16.8%	27.0%	33.7%	22.5%
Q16-18. Parent & child/family programs	13.5%	29.5%	45.1%	11.9%
Q16-19. Performing arts programs (dance, music, theatre, etc.)	10.0%	23.0%	48.3%	18.7%
Q16-20. Preschool programs	14.0%	21.7%	42.0%	22.3%
Q16-21. Programs with your pet (obedience classes, agility courses, dog park programming, etc.)	8.9%	9.9%	25.0%	56.3%
Q16-22. Recreation trips (day, overnight)	10.7%	20.1%	33.7%	35.5%
Q16-23. Science, technology, engineering, & math (STEM) programs	6.2%	18.1%	42.4%	33.3%
Q16-24. Senior programs (50+)	11.3%	26.6%	41.8%	20.3%
Q16-25. Summer day camp programs	18.4%	33.5%	35.8%	12.3%
Q16-26. Technology-based programs (eSports, Pokemon GO, geocaching, etc.)	13.6%	22.7%	35.5%	28.2%
Q16-27. Teen (13-17) programs	11.3%	18.5%	41.1%	29.0%
Q16-28. Visual arts (arts & crafts classes)	5.9%	17.7%	49.5%	26.8%
Q16-29. Youth sports leagues, tournaments, & camps	20.4%	34.0%	36.6%	8.9%
Q16-30. Other	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	80.0%



**Q16-30. Other:**

<u>Q16-30. Other</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Pool	3	30.0 %
Child care	1	10.0 %
Need more diverse preschool programs	1	10.0 %
Church activities	1	10.0 %
Wilderness First Aide	1	10.0 %
Paved biking paths	1	10.0 %
Kayak pool roll practice sessions, indoor climbing gym	1	10.0 %
After work and weekend classes for kids	1	10.0 %
Total	10	100.0 %

**Q17. Which FOUR of the programs or activities listed in Question 16 do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household?**

<u>Q17. Top choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Adaptive recreation (programs/activities for persons with disabilities)	7	1.6 %
Adult programs (18+)	22	5.1 %
Adult sports leagues & tournaments	15	3.5 %
Agriculture education & community gardens	8	1.9 %
Aquatics programs (swim lessons, lap swim, competitions, etc.)	97	22.7 %
Before & after school programs	13	3.0 %
Community events (concerts, festivals, holiday events, etc.)	17	4.0 %
Enrichment/special interest programs (language, cooking, photo, etc.)	8	1.9 %
Experiential education (citizen science, first aid, survival, etc.)	5	1.2 %
Extreme sports (skateboarding, BMX, rock climbing, etc.)	7	1.6 %
Farmers market	27	6.3 %
Fitness & wellness programs (group fitness, nutrition, etc.)	10	2.3 %
Historical programs (classes, events, tours, living history, etc.)	2	0.5 %
Nature programs (bird watching, stewardship programs, etc.)	9	2.1 %
Outdoor programming in parks (fitness, yoga, gatherings, etc.)	3	0.7 %
Outdoor recreation: land-based (hiking, cycling, mountain biking, camping, etc.)	43	10.0 %
Outdoor recreation: water-based (kayaking, paddle boarding, rafting, etc.)	3	0.7 %
Parent & child/family programs	6	1.4 %
Preschool programs	3	0.7 %
Programs with your pet (obedience classes, agility courses, dog park programming, etc.)	6	1.4 %
Recreation trips (day, overnight)	1	0.2 %
Science, technology, engineering, & math (STEM) programs	1	0.2 %
Senior programs (50+)	13	3.0 %
Summer day camp programs	7	1.6 %
Technology-based programs (eSports, Pokemon GO, geocaching, etc.)	2	0.5 %
Teen (13-17) programs	6	1.4 %
Visual arts (arts & crafts classes)	3	0.7 %
Youth sports leagues, tournaments, & camps	13	3.0 %
None chosen	71	16.6 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q17. Which FOUR of the programs or activities listed in Question 16 do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household?**

<u>Q17. 2nd choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Adaptive recreation (programs/activities for persons with disabilities)	7	1.6 %
Adult programs (18+)	11	2.6 %
Adult sports leagues & tournaments	11	2.6 %
Agriculture education & community gardens	13	3.0 %
Aquatics programs (swim lessons, lap swim, competitions, etc.)	25	5.8 %
Before & after school programs	11	2.6 %
Community events (concerts, festivals, holiday events, etc.)	26	6.1 %
Enrichment/special interest programs (language, cooking, photo, etc.)	14	3.3 %
Experiential education (citizen science, first aid, survival, etc.)	12	2.8 %
Extreme sports (skateboarding, BMX, rock climbing, etc.)	7	1.6 %
Farmers market	31	7.2 %
Fitness & wellness programs (group fitness, nutrition, etc.)	22	5.1 %
Historical programs (classes, events, tours, living history, etc.)	6	1.4 %
Nature programs (bird watching, stewardship programs, etc.)	10	2.3 %
Outdoor programming in parks (fitness, yoga, gatherings, etc.)	10	2.3 %
Outdoor recreation: land-based (hiking, cycling, mountain biking, camping, etc.)	22	5.1 %
Outdoor recreation: water-based (kayaking, paddle boarding, rafting, etc.)	17	4.0 %
Parent & child/family programs	4	0.9 %
Performing arts programs (dance, music, theatre, etc.)	3	0.7 %
Preschool programs	8	1.9 %
Programs with your pet (obedience classes, agility courses, dog park programming, etc.)	12	2.8 %
Recreation trips (day, overnight)	5	1.2 %
Science, technology, engineering, & math (STEM) programs	6	1.4 %
Senior programs (50+)	14	3.3 %
Summer day camp programs	8	1.9 %
Technology-based programs (eSports, Pokemon GO, geocaching, etc.)	1	0.2 %
Teen (13-17) programs	8	1.9 %
Visual arts (arts & crafts classes)	3	0.7 %
Youth sports leagues, tournaments, & camps	12	2.8 %
<u>None chosen</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>20.8 %</u>
Total	428	100.0 %

**Q17. Which FOUR of the programs or activities listed in Question 16 do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household?**

<u>Q17. 3rd choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Adaptive recreation (programs/activities for persons with disabilities)	1	0.2 %
Adult programs (18+)	5	1.2 %
Adult sports leagues & tournaments	5	1.2 %
Agriculture education & community gardens	10	2.3 %
Aquatics programs (swim lessons, lap swim, competitions, etc.)	15	3.5 %
Before & after school programs	4	0.9 %
Community events (concerts, festivals, holiday events, etc.)	24	5.6 %
Enrichment/special interest programs (language, cooking, photo, etc.)	8	1.9 %
Experiential education (citizen science, first aid, survival, etc.)	15	3.5 %
Extreme sports (skateboarding, BMX, rock climbing, etc.)	6	1.4 %
Farmers market	32	7.5 %
Fitness & wellness programs (group fitness, nutrition, etc.)	17	4.0 %
Historical programs (classes, events, tours, living history, etc.)	10	2.3 %
Nature programs (bird watching, stewardship programs, etc.)	14	3.3 %
Outdoor programming in parks (fitness, yoga, gatherings, etc.)	17	4.0 %
Outdoor recreation: land-based (hiking, cycling, mountain biking, camping, etc.)	33	7.7 %
Outdoor recreation: water-based (kayaking, paddle boarding, rafting, etc.)	16	3.7 %
Parent & child/family programs	8	1.9 %
Performing arts programs (dance, music, theatre, etc.)	7	1.6 %
Preschool programs	8	1.9 %
Programs with your pet (obedience classes, agility courses, dog park programming, etc.)	6	1.4 %
Recreation trips (day, overnight)	4	0.9 %
Science, technology, engineering, & math (STEM) programs	4	0.9 %
Senior programs (50+)	15	3.5 %
Summer day camp programs	10	2.3 %
Teen (13-17) programs	7	1.6 %
Visual arts (arts & crafts classes)	7	1.6 %
Youth sports leagues, tournaments, & camps	5	1.2 %
<u>None chosen</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>26.9 %</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>

**Q17. Which FOUR of the programs or activities listed in Question 16 do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household?**

<u>Q17. 4th choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Adaptive recreation (programs/activities for persons with disabilities)	4	0.9 %
Adult programs (18+)	1	0.2 %
Adult sports leagues & tournaments	7	1.6 %
Agriculture education & community gardens	5	1.2 %
Aquatics programs (swim lessons, lap swim, competitions, etc.)	11	2.6 %
Before & after school programs	11	2.6 %
Community events (concerts, festivals, holiday events, etc.)	19	4.4 %
Enrichment/special interest programs (language, cooking, photo, etc.)	16	3.7 %
Experiential education (citizen science, first aid, survival, etc.)	13	3.0 %
Extreme sports (skateboarding, BMX, rock climbing, etc.)	4	0.9 %
Farmers market	17	4.0 %
Fitness & wellness programs (group fitness, nutrition, etc.)	15	3.5 %
Historical programs (classes, events, tours, living history, etc.)	11	2.6 %
Nature programs (bird watching, stewardship programs, etc.)	15	3.5 %
Outdoor programming in parks (fitness, yoga, gatherings, etc.)	7	1.6 %
Outdoor recreation: land-based (hiking, cycling, mountain biking, camping, etc.)	14	3.3 %
Outdoor recreation: water-based (kayaking, paddle boarding, rafting, etc.)	14	3.3 %
Parent & child/family programs	11	2.6 %
Performing arts programs (dance, music, theatre, etc.)	7	1.6 %
Preschool programs	1	0.2 %
Programs with your pet (obedience classes, agility courses, dog park programming, etc.)	11	2.6 %
Recreation trips (day, overnight)	4	0.9 %
Science, technology, engineering, & math (STEM) programs	8	1.9 %
Senior programs (50+)	6	1.4 %
Summer day camp programs	6	1.4 %
Technology-based programs (eSports, Pokemon GO, geocaching, etc.)	1	0.2 %
Teen (13-17) programs	6	1.4 %
Visual arts (arts & crafts classes)	7	1.6 %
Youth sports leagues, tournaments, & camps	11	2.6 %
<u>None chosen</u>	<u>165</u>	<u>38.6 %</u>
Total	428	100.0 %

**SUM OF TOP 4 CHOICES****Q17. Which FOUR of the programs or activities listed in Question 16 do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household? (top 4)**

<u>Q17. Top choice</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Adaptive recreation (programs/activities for persons with disabilities)	19	4.4 %
Adult programs (18+)	39	9.1 %
Adult sports leagues & tournaments	38	8.9 %
Agriculture education & community gardens	36	8.4 %
Aquatics programs (swim lessons, lap swim, competitions, etc.)	148	34.6 %
Before & after school programs	39	9.1 %
Community events (concerts, festivals, holiday events, etc.)	86	20.1 %
Enrichment/special interest programs (language, cooking, photo, etc.)	46	10.7 %
Experiential education (citizen science, first aid, survival, etc.)	45	10.5 %
Extreme sports (skateboarding, BMX, rock climbing, etc.)	24	5.6 %
Farmers market	107	25.0 %
Fitness & wellness programs (group fitness, nutrition, etc.)	64	15.0 %
Historical programs (classes, events, tours, living history, etc.)	29	6.8 %
Nature programs (bird watching, stewardship programs, etc.)	48	11.2 %
Outdoor programming in parks (fitness, yoga, gatherings, etc.)	37	8.6 %
Outdoor recreation: land-based (hiking, cycling, mountain biking, camping, etc.)	112	26.2 %
Outdoor recreation: water-based (kayaking, paddle boarding, rafting, etc.)	50	11.7 %
Parent & child/family programs	29	6.8 %
Performing arts programs (dance, music, theatre, etc.)	17	4.0 %
Preschool programs	20	4.7 %
Programs with your pet (obedience classes, agility courses, dog park programming, etc.)	35	8.2 %
Recreation trips (day, overnight)	14	3.3 %
Science, technology, engineering, & math (STEM) programs	19	4.4 %
Senior programs (50+)	48	11.2 %
Summer day camp programs	31	7.2 %
Technology-based programs (eSports, Pokemon GO, geocaching, etc.)	4	0.9 %
Teen (13-17) programs	27	6.3 %
Visual arts (arts & crafts classes)	20	4.7 %
Youth sports leagues, tournaments, & camps	41	9.6 %
<u>None chosen</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>16.6 %</u>
Total	1343	

**Q18. If you had an additional \$100, how would you allocate the funds among the parks and recreation categories listed below?**

	Mean
Address deferred maintenance of existing parks, trails, & facilities (deferred maintenance refers to postponed maintenance activities that are necessary to upkeep of the system)	23.46
Acquire land for new parks & open space	16.22
Update/renovate existing facilities such as community centers, pools, playgrounds, splash pads, etc.	36.39
Develop new trails & greenways	14.21
Expand recreation programs & event offerings	9.73

**Q19. Please rate your satisfaction on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," with the overall value your household receives from Si View MPD.**

Q19. Your satisfaction with overall value your household receives from Si View MPD	Number	Percent
Very satisfied	92	21.5 %
Satisfied	178	41.6 %
Neutral	103	24.1 %
Dissatisfied	13	3.0 %
Very dissatisfied	5	1.2 %
Don't know	37	8.6 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**WITHOUT DON'T KNOW****Q19. Please rate your satisfaction on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," with the overall value your household receives from Si View MPD. (without "don't know")**

Q19. Your satisfaction with overall value your household receives from Si View MPD	Number	Percent
Very satisfied	92	23.5 %
Satisfied	178	45.5 %
Neutral	103	26.3 %
Dissatisfied	13	3.3 %
Very dissatisfied	5	1.3 %
Total	391	100.0 %

**Q20. What is your age?**

Q20. Your age	Number	Percent
18-34	83	19.4 %
35-44	94	22.0 %
45-54	87	20.3 %
55-64	79	18.5 %
65+	83	19.4 %
Not provided	2	0.5 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**WITHOUT NOT PROVIDED****Q20. What is your age? (without "not provided")**

Q20. Your age	Number	Percent
18-34	83	19.5 %
35-44	94	22.1 %
45-54	87	20.4 %
55-64	79	18.5 %
65+	83	19.5 %
Total	426	100.0 %

**Q21. Your gender:**

Q21. Your gender	Number	Percent
Male	211	49.3 %
Female	212	49.5 %
Prefer to self-describe	1	0.2 %
Not provided	4	0.9 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**WITHOUT NOT PROVIDED****Q21. Your gender: (without "not provided")**

Q21. Your gender	Number	Percent
Male	211	49.8 %
Female	212	50.0 %
Prefer to self-describe	1	0.2 %
Total	424	100.0 %

**Q21-3. Self-describe your gender:**

Q21-3. Self-describe your gender	Number	Percent
Non-binary	1	100.0 %
Total	1	100.0 %

**Q22. Which of the following best describes your race?**

Q22. Your race	Number	Percent
Asian or Asian Indian	25	5.8 %
Black or African American	2	0.5 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	2.1 %
White or Caucasian	308	72.0 %
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	2	0.5 %
Hispanic, Spanish, or Latino/a/x	50	11.7 %
Other	6	1.4 %
Total	402	

**Q22-7. Self-describe your race:**

Q22-7. Self-describe your race	Number	Percent
Mixed	3	50.0 %
Jewish	1	16.7 %
Multiple races	1	16.7 %
More than one	1	16.7 %
Total	6	100.0 %

**Q23. How many years have you lived in the Si View Metro Parks District?**

Q23. How many years have you lived in Si View MPD	Number	Percent
0-5	121	28.3 %
6-10	92	21.5 %
11-15	47	11.0 %
16-20	25	5.8 %
21-30	64	15.0 %
31+	61	14.3 %
Not provided	18	4.2 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**WITHOUT NOT PROVIDED****Q23. How many years have you lived in the Si View Metro Parks District? (without "not provided")**

Q23. How many years have you lived in Si View MPD	Number	Percent
0-5	121	29.5 %
6-10	92	22.4 %
11-15	47	11.5 %
16-20	25	6.1 %
21-30	64	15.6 %
31+	61	14.9 %
Total	410	100.0 %

**Q24. What is your total annual household income?**

Q24. Your total annual household income	Number	Percent
Under \$35K	7	1.6 %
\$35K to \$69,999	29	6.8 %
\$70K to \$99,999	47	11.0 %
\$100K to \$134,999	61	14.3 %
\$135K to \$169,999	51	11.9 %
\$170K+	158	36.9 %
Not provided	75	17.5 %
Total	428	100.0 %

**WITHOUT NOT PROVIDED****Q24. What is your total annual household income? (without "not provided")**

Q24. Your total annual household income	Number	Percent
Under \$35K	7	2.0 %
\$35K to \$69,999	29	8.2 %
\$70K to \$99,999	47	13.3 %
\$100K to \$134,999	61	17.3 %
\$135K to \$169,999	51	14.4 %
\$170K+	158	44.8 %
Total	353	100.0 %

**Q25. Please share any additional comments that could assist Si View MPD in better meeting your recreation program or facility needs.**

- A new pool and rec facility would be most helpful to our family. Biking/hiking trails and more children's classes and camps.
- A new pool is top priority for us, but would love to see a simpler proposal that could easily pass. No waterpark splash pad extras. Add them later.
- A new pool would greatly benefit the community.



- Accommodating program growth for the overall population growth in our area. More people should have the opportunity to participate.
- An indoor water park whether through Si View or Public partnership.
- Appreciate all your work to make our valley a beautiful and natural place to recreate.
- As a senior living on a fixed income, the pool would be the most important thing for me to be able to afford and to have access to when it isn't filled with youngsters. Maybe a senior discount? Or an annual pass for low income seniors?
- Better aquatic solution, ADA wheelchair accessibility to some river area would be great, better quality instructors for child sport camps (have gotten a few clearly not knowledgeable, particularly for summer sport programs), better swings at EJ Roberts Park, splash pad like option would be great, expand trail system along rivers, more indoor recreation options like rock climbing, and a new creative playground, )
- Bicycle pathways between other cities.
- Bigger pool/more swim lessons availability. More programs on weekend and evenings. More childcare options. Stop the old guard from voting everything down. Also, the park maintenance people do a great job.
- Build a bigger pool and more indoor play areas.
- Build a new pool, more courts, more outdoor rack
- Build the new pool, not a new community center.
- Design a huge pool, see if that gets the botes.
- Expanded aquatics that can offer multiprogramming to meet the diverse needs of our large, growing Upper Valley community is necessary and vital for ALL ages.
- Full length lap pool. Biking in the mountains.
- Have voted yes on a new pool every time-that is our priority. The pool we have is well run and well managed., just not big enough.
- I always appreciate the maintenance crews for keeping the parks clean and well maintained. I especially appreciate the new connections (walking paths) that connect the different neighborhoods and parks. I would love to see an indoor fitness center as found in many other community centers.
- I have 3 kids who play sports. Turf fields and lights are high on our priorities. I feel like you could do a fund raiser.
- Improve conditions for the kids, a community pool and community fields
- It may not be realistic but try again for a pool?
- Kayak roll practice in pool during winter
- Love the idea of a dog park
- Midday outdoor yoga, volleyball league, midday adult art, special interest classes.
- More information as to what is available
- More programs for teens and improved pool
- More walking biking trails between towns and communities.
- MPD needs to upgrade the swimming pool.
- My family and I live at South Fork disc golf park. We use it several times a week, if not daily. Please make retaining or expanding disc golf a priority in north bend. It is by far the best course in the area. It could be even better with updated pads and signage. I fully support renting it occasionally for tournaments to help cover Maintenance costs.
- Need new community pool
- NEW POOL
- New pool needed.

- NEW POOL
- No more excessive funding a pool. Our dollars better spent supporting Snoq Valley outdoors.
- Our family really appreciates the new mountain bike park. Great to see families out there, especially young girls who now have access and exposure to what has been a male-dominated recreational activity.
- Our neighborhood parks need an upgrade, more variety of programs/activities would be nice. More indoor activities for littles during cold months.
- Our parks are beautiful but we could use more. Bike path also.
- Parking needed. Move Farmers market to a different site that has more parking and access.
- Please create a trail between city hall and Stilson just north of SE Cedar Falls Way. Don't reduce the amount of disc golf in North Bend!
- Please keep trying for a new pool
- Pool/lessons/open swim improvements would be so beneficial for our community. We would also love more indoor and outdoor community gathering spaces (think: farmers market, but year round. Similar to the vibe you get at South Fork restaurant, but a public venue with various activities (art classes, food vendors, story time, etc.)
- Preserving spaces for nature to exist. Expanded trails for biking and walking.
- Really need a new pool facility. Adult classes/programs would also be good along with a fitness center
- Really need better pool, facility for teen programs/spaces
- Si View Metro Parks should do LESS, not more. Stop trying to serve "more" people. Stop serving non-residents. Stop behaving like Issaquah.
- Si View MPD needs to be restructured so the entire Snoqualmie Valley is included in the taxing as a regional area. Too many areas are not included in the district boundaries to incl Snoqualmie Ridge, Fall City, Preston & unincorporated areas. Regional facilities not park district limited boundaries. Taxation needs to be for all.
- STILL SUPPORT THE NEW POOL
- Stop your expansion.
- Strengthen all efforts at "litter control", enforcement of speeding and excessive noise ordinances and limits, and littering.
- Thank you!
- THE PARK IS AT A POINT WHERE THERE SHOULD BE A SOLID EFFORT TO GET A NEW AQUATIC CENTER
- The pool needs attention - need to find a way to move forward.
- The pump tack and climbing structure at Jorgeson are not being maintained. Buy as much land to preserve as possible.
- The Torguson rock wall needs some love! Climbers use this space in the summer, and yet holds are loose, smooth from overuse, and have remained the same for many years! People who use this space would likely contribute to improving it if given the resources
- Unless you are involved with school district, it is very hard to know what is offered and where.
- Use the excess land across from playground for new indoor rec space, open gym, pickleball
- We are very supportive of the pool and have voted for it every time it is on the ballot.
- We had mommy and me classes , would love something for parent and teens.
- We have a special needs 13 yr who would benefit from a summer program

- We love Si View MPD and want to see it continue to grow and make North Bend a better and better place to live. Thank you for all that you do!
- We love the service that is provided by you all. We would LOVE to see the pool dream come to life. Thanks for all you do!
- We moved here because we appreciate nature and outdoor space and free play. Please don't turn NB into Sno Ridge!!!! There are lots of areas in and around NB to get a full variety of activities without having to go too far.
- We need a new aquatics center. It should not be this hard to get your child in swim lessons.
- We need a new pool.
- We need a pool! I know Si View MPD has been trying to pass this, and it's disheartening that the community overwhelmingly wants a new pool, but cannot get it passed. There is a lack of paved biking trails for road bikers and a safe way to connect to the Eastside paved trail system; we strongly support investment in this. We also lack indoor facilities for court sports (basketball, volleyball, tennis, racquetball, pickleball, floor hockey, etc.).
- We need the aquatic center
- We need to stop trying to develop every inch of land in the upper Snoqualmie Valley / North Bend. We need to leave large areas undeveloped so that we can maintain the tree canopy and foliage and natural area that make the upper valley special and unique. Please stop trying to develop all of our riverfront to provide access. Let our rivers remain natural.
- We need to vote in a new aquatic center!  
Thank you for providing an amazing set of resource to our community- we are so lucky!
- WE REALLY NEED A CLIMBING GYM/SPRAY WALL INDOORS. I THINK YOU SHOULD FOCUS ON WHAT DRAWS PEOPLE MORE HERE--OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES
- We support a new outdoor pool and more public outdoor pickleball courts.
- Would be nice to see more for seniors, we do not need a crazy expensive pool.
- Would love to see a larger swim pool in our area. Would love to see grandchild's meets locally.
- You guys do fine. Keep it up
- 1. a lovely river walk 2. proper elk viewing platform, elk education, community outreach
- 1. Indoor year around farmers market like Anacortes  
2. Cost effective yoga classes  
3. Emergency preparedness classes like earthquake, wildfire, etc.
- A new pool please!
- Adult men basketball league. Kids football on a different day than just Sunday.
- Better pool in North Bend
- Better selection for Farmer's Market vendors. Festival MT SI is lacking.
- Bring back the golf course. Do not need to waste money on a new pool. Cheap and accessible golf is great for whole family.
- Build a decent pool with decent locker room facilities
- Build an indoor swimming pool and staff it 24/7 for free.
- CHILDRENS/TEEN WITH DISABILITIES ARE NOT SERVED AT ALL
- Decent size pool with lap lanes that is available daily.
- Focus on what makes North Bend unique from all other cities in the Seattle metro area. Big parks, trails, hiking, mountain biking, river access, quick access to skiing. This is why most people move to North Bend or Snoqualmie. Programs that focus on these activities would be ideal. Develop programs around what we already have and the interest of most people who live here - mountain biking trails, hiking trails, golf course, ski resort.

- Have pool open on weekends for longer than an hour. Allow at least 2 hour swim times. Larger would be better.
- I am pro-new pool; however, \$50 million dollars would be better spent on creating additional recreation opportunities via a more extensive paved trail system, covered outdoor play spaces for winter months, linking major community parks to major housing areas, etc. North Bend attracts outdoorsy people, money spent should be allocated as such. A community of safe (pedestrian/bicycle only, away from roads) paved/ADA accessible trails linking all parks, neighborhoods, mountain biking areas, river access spots, shopping areas, etc., plus covering a few playgrounds for more comfortable fall-spring use would change North Bend in a much broader way than a new pool, and it would be much more accepted by the community.
- I am sad the pool did not pass.
- I doubt that this falls under the purview of SVMPPD, but it would be nice to see some spending toward more bike paths/lanes to better connect the city. The town has a burgeoning mountain bike population and it would be pretty neat to be able to connect the community to outer areas like Wilderness Rim or River Bend for people to have better safer access to town.
- I liked doing swim work outs. Instructor did not show how to do the exercise though.
- I really enjoy the climbing wall at Torguson park. I'd love to see it updated, or at least well maintained. It is a somewhat unique feature, not available anywhere else nearby. I do support a new/updated pool. However, I have voted against the proposed aquatic center. The proposed aquatic center seemed extravagant and very costly. I'd prefer to see a more modest facility proposed, at a cheaper cost to residence.
- I see getting the Si View Pool updated would be an amazing resource for the community and allow for more people to have access and use. Additionally and indoor climbing space would be used often by all ages within the community.
- I skip Farmers Market due to lack of parking and lack of farmers
- I think creating structured volunteer programs for trail maintenance, roadway cleanup, etc. might be good.
- I think it is time for a new pool. Many young families could use a bigger pool.
- I think the community would find the most value in a modern recreation center with both aquatic facilities and an indoor climbing/bouldering area. While we do not necessarily need a full height rope climbing gym, a smaller bouldering only facility would be a huge asset for both aspiring climbers and for advanced climbers looking to stay in shape when the weather does not allow for outdoor rock climbing
- I want to support the pool. But my kid almost drowned in class with 2 instructors. They have not done anything to better safety. They keep over crowding.
- I would like to see all the kids get swim lessons.
- I would love to see the climbing structure renovated a bit, as it sorely needs at least some new holds and to be re-bolted as there is only one lead climbing line on it currently.
- I'm in full support of north supporting a climbing gym to build community and create a gathering place for the darkest months of the year! There's also a great opportunity to build a standing surf wave on the Snoqualmie river!
- Keep pushing for a pool. Community needs it.
- Keep the disc golf as is
- Keep the disc golf as is
- larger tax base

- Lighting for youth sports and more fields is always needed
- Si view MPD is amazing and we are so thankful for all you guys do!
- Link bike trails and greenways
- Love rental spaces for multiple functions
- Maintain and stay in budget
- Maintenance at parks has been declining in recent years. Landscaping/lighting, paint, etc. needed.
- Maybe we should push for a regular yet bigger and better pool. If ever the super pool plan passes, we need to charge non-residents a good deal more to help with funding.
- More drop-in time for programs like the indoor playground. Indoor, recreational space for young children when the weather isn't nice for outdoor play. More classes/activities for small children
- more for mobility impaired
- More offerings for kids recreation sports. We also really need a bigger pool so that we could actually utilize open swim.
- More Pickleball and tennis updates/improvements
- more senior activities on weekends
- More visibility to programs to new residents. Signage in neighborhoods.
- morning times for pickleball
- Multi use trails will continue to improve ability to commute locally and access outdoor recreation without depending on car dependent infrastructure.
- My kids would love to be on a swim team and take a sewing class. They loved the soccer start programs
- Need a new pool.
- Need more classes for seniors.
- Need more indoor recreation for soccer/fitness/weights/aquatics
- New pool and pool facilities! NB has grown so much and we need a much bigger space. So sad not to be able to enroll my kids consistently and have go to Issaquah or Sammamish to get them lessons when I want to stay local.
- Our kids need a decent pool. Or multiple pools so adults could do laps while kids had swim lessons. Mt Si pool swim lessons fill up 5 min after registration opens.
- Parking issues need to be addressed at the community center. The farmers market should be moved to a new location because paying customers cannot get to their classes when the market is running.
- Partner with Snoqualmie tribe and make accessible
- Please discontinue the animal husbandry classes. It is very upsetting to those who walk by the slaughter.
- Please focus on open/natural space conservation.
- Please keep pool open until alternative available
- Please stop the idea of a new pool. It is not needed. Makes us pay for a place that people outside this area could use. Not fair, not needed.
- pool
- Pool and sports facilities need upgrades
- Pool for competitive/masters swimming. We also need a weight room and climbing gym.
- POOL IMPORTANT, OUTDOOR WATER FOR KIDS-NOT SPLASHPARK BUT WADING POOL, RIVER ACCESS, PLACE TO RENT AND USE PADDLEBOARDS; MORE WALKING TRAILS

- Pool is hard to access with multiple small children. Would be nice to have more adapted options for medically complex child.
- Pool marketing needs revisited.
- pool. We love our NB trails. Our pup would love more activities at the dog park.
- Really like the idea of a new pool. Doesn't need to be as ambitious as originally planned. Also more community river access please. Thanks for what you do, love the activity guide and community events!
- Shoreline access, more maintenance.
- Skate park expansion with lights. We need a new pool, but not a gigantic one with a huge price tag,.
- Stop building so many new homes; get a new pool complex; preserve green space
- Stop pushing aquatic center
- Thank you for all that you do and for asking for feedback!
- There are not enough swim lesson spots. The pool staff is amazing.
- THIS IS A SMALL TOWN PEOPLE SHOULD LEAVE IF THEY WANT MORE AMENITIES
- Ultimate frisbee pickup games or a league!
- Update the pool. That should be priority. Amp up in person social networking as well.
- updated pool should be much simpler. No splash pad/lazy river needed. More cost efficient. Property taxes are high enough
- we are a huge pickleball family and the facilities we have are not adequate for the popularity of this sport in the valley-more indoor and outdoor space is need with more times available on court surfaces.
- We are grateful for the parks and recreation near our home, especially the set of Si View parks but also Tiger Mountain, Raging River, and all the federal and state hiking trails. Our area attracts active people, and I think the recreational opportunities around here are outstanding. I appreciate what Si View does with limited resources.  
My biggest frustration is that the new pool initiatives have not passed, but we've been so close. I think people underestimate the value that community resources add to their overall well being and property values. I do hope the pool initiatives pass in the near future, maybe after a bit of a breather from the recent efforts. I do appreciate how hard the Si View team has worked on the previous initiatives; please don't give up.
- We are satisfied with the offerings today but see our needs changing in the years to come as we start a family! Additional access to experience the beauty of the valley is always something we'll invest in.
- We are so thankful for this program and would love to see it financed to keep improving it!!
- We care most about outdoor recreation, conservation, etc.
- We have continued to say no to an expensive new pool complex because a lot of us can NOT afford to pay more taxes every year for something we will not use. Please quit trying to push this through, the voters have voted no for a reason.
- We need pool. Need swim lesson for my kids and can't get into ones already here. Also, it is too expensive for a subsidized service. Website is terrible.
- We need a new and larger pool
- We need a new pool desperately before ours is no longer functioning. I would take this over anything else, and I am so very happy with everything the parks department offers me and my family. The pool and community center programming are what make our community so wonderful.
- We need a new pool.
- We need a swimming pool desperately.

- We need an expanded pool and fitness facility. Look at YMCA as bar to meet.
- We need to just upkeep what we have. No new community center/pool. No more land purchased for more parks. We are losing too much land to overpopulation!
- We need pickleball, pool, cooking/photography/language
- We think the Si View MPD does a great job with limited resources and budget. One of the things we love about North Bend is the number of parks and open spaces. The priority should be the upkeep of existing Si View MPD sites. Thank you for the survey.
- We would enjoy coed rec leagues, and adult only activities, such as paint and wine
- We would love a climbing gym with yoga classes and believe a recreational surf wave would bring more business to the town. Thank you for all you do!
- Website updates for ease of use; more after work and weekend activities; larger pool with more amenities and temperate showers; preservation of natural space
- WHILE MANY PROGRAMS ARE AVAILABLE THROUGH SI VIEW MPD, AN UPDATE TO THE WEBSITE AND MARKETING WOULD BE MOST HELPFUL
- WOULD APPRECIATE MORE PROGRAMS FOR TODDLERS THAT ARE ON WEEKENDS OR AFTER 4PM SO WORKING PARENTS CAN ATTEND
- Would love a local YMCA in north bend, would love more places to workout. Would love another disc golf course, as south fork is extremely popular and we could pull in more people to the area with an additional spot to play. Would love more community events and community arts courses for 25+ individuals.
- Would love to see a way to connect Tennant Trails more efficiently and safely from the SVT and the South Fork Trail.  
Thanks for all you do!
- Would love to see Si View Pool updated , more access to swim lessons. More community gardens and fruit trees planted for all to enjoy.



# Survey Instrument



*Serving Snoqualmie Valley  
since 2003*

**Parks and Facilities:**

Si View Community Center,  
Park & Pool  
400 SE Orchard Drive  
North Bend

Torguson Park  
750 East North Bend Way  
North Bend

Tollgate Farm Park  
1300 West North Bend Way  
North Bend

Meadowbrook Farm  
1711 Boalch Avenue  
North Bend

South Fork Landing  
14319 436th Ave SE  
North Bend

Tennant Trailhead Park  
12201 West Ribary Way  
North Bend

**Commissioners:**

Bud Raisio, President  
Mark Joselyn, Clerk  
Susan Kelly  
Katie Klahn  
Tim Noonan

**Core Values:**

Partnerships  
Integrity  
Accountability  
Customer Service  
Accessibility  
Environmental Stewardship

**Contact:**

PO Box 346  
North Bend, WA 98045  
(425) 831-1900  
www.siviewpark.org  
info@siviewpark.org

Dear Si View Metro Parks Resident:

***A Few Minutes of Your Time Will Shape the Future of Snoqualmie Valley Recreation Programs and Facilities***

Si View Metro Parks is conducting a community priorities survey and needs to hear from you. Your household was selected at random to receive this survey, therefore, it is very important that you participate. This survey will be used to update the District's Parks, Recreation, and Open Space (PROS) Plan that provides the vision and framework to prioritize our work to meet the recreation program and facility needs of our community for the next 6-10 years.

We last updated the district wide plan in 2016 which resulted in several park infrastructure projects. These infrastructure projects were a direct result of the community input received and subsequent funding from the voter approved "Connect and Protect" parks capital bond measure. These include projects such as the trail connection from Tollgate Farm Park to Snoqualmie Valley Trail, development of Tennant Trailhead Park, acquisition of a new community park, South Fork Landing, Torguson Park pump track lighting installation, Skate Park renovation and ballfield improvements, the Tollgate Barn development and Tanner Trail extension.

Please complete and return your survey within the next two weeks. We have selected ETC Institute, a national research firm, as our partner to administer this survey. They will compile the data received and present the results later this year. Your responses will remain completely anonymous and confidential. We encourage you to complete the survey online at [siviewparkssurvey.org](http://siviewparkssurvey.org). Alternatively, you may complete the enclosed printed version and return it in the postage-paid, return-reply envelope.

For more information about the PROS Plan and project timeline, please visit our website [siviewpark.org](http://siviewpark.org) and select Comprehensive Plans.

Thank you in advance for your participation in this important survey that will benefit all residents. If you have any questions, please contact Travis Stombaugh, Executive Director at 425-831-1900 or [tstombaugh@siviewpark.org](mailto:tstombaugh@siviewpark.org).

Sincerely,



Travis Stombaugh  
Executive Director

## 2024 Community Interest and Opinion Survey



Si View Metro Parks (Si View MPD) would like your input to help determine recreation priorities for our community. This survey will take 10-15 minutes to complete. When you are finished, please return your survey in the enclosed postage-paid, return-reply envelope or fill it out on-line at [siviewparkssurvey.org](http://siviewparkssurvey.org). We greatly appreciate your time! You will have an opportunity at the end of this survey to opt-in for a chance to win one of five (5) \$100 prepaid Visa gift cards for fully completing your survey.

**1. Please complete this survey with all household members in mind. Counting yourself, how many people in your household are...**

Under age 5: \_\_\_\_      Ages 15-19: \_\_\_\_      Ages 35-44: \_\_\_\_      Ages 65-79: \_\_\_\_  
 Ages 5-9: \_\_\_\_      Ages 20-24: \_\_\_\_      Ages 45-54: \_\_\_\_      Ages 80+: \_\_\_\_  
 Ages 10-14: \_\_\_\_      Ages 25-34: \_\_\_\_      Ages 55-64: \_\_\_\_

**2. How familiar would you say you are with what Si View MPD provides to District residents?**

\_\_\_\_(1) Extremely familiar      \_\_\_\_ (3) Somewhat familiar      \_\_\_\_ (5) Not at all familiar  
 \_\_\_\_ (2) Moderately familiar      \_\_\_\_ (4) Slightly familiar

**3. Have you or members of your household participated in any programs or services offered by the by Si View MPD during the past 12 months?**

\_\_\_\_(1) Yes [Answer Q3a.]      \_\_\_\_ (2) No [Skip to Q3b.]

**3a. Overall, how would you rate the overall quality of the Si View MPD programs or services you or the members of your household have participated in during the past 12 months?**

\_\_\_\_(4) Excellent      \_\_\_\_ (3) Good      \_\_\_\_ (2) Fair      \_\_\_\_ (1) Poor

**3b. Please CHECK ALL the reasons why you have not participated or do not participate in programs or services offered by Si View MPD MORE OFTEN. [Check all that apply.]**

\_\_\_\_ (01) Use other city, state, county, private providers      \_\_\_\_ (11) Lack of transportation  
 \_\_\_\_ (02) Too far from home      \_\_\_\_ (12) Lack of restrooms  
 \_\_\_\_ (03) Not aware of what is offered      \_\_\_\_ (13) Language/Cultural barriers/age barriers  
 \_\_\_\_ (04) Facilities are not well maintained      \_\_\_\_ (14) Fees are too high/lack of financial assistance  
 \_\_\_\_ (05) Old and outdated facilities      \_\_\_\_ (15) Lack of quality instructors/coaches  
 \_\_\_\_ (06) Lack of programs we want to use      \_\_\_\_ (16) Quality of the program  
 \_\_\_\_ (07) Lack of parking      \_\_\_\_ (17) Times the program is offered  
 \_\_\_\_ (08) Do not feel safe at parks/facilities      \_\_\_\_ (18) Dates the program is offered  
 \_\_\_\_ (09) Lack of ADA accessibility in offerings      \_\_\_\_ (19) None; we participate regularly  
 \_\_\_\_ (10) Do not feel welcomed/accommodated      \_\_\_\_ (20) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**4. Have you or members of your household visited any of parks or recreation facilities offered by Si View MPD during the past 12 months?**

\_\_\_\_(1) Yes [Answer Q4a.]      \_\_\_\_ (2) No [Skip to Q4b.]

**4a. Overall, how would you rate the physical condition of the Si View MPD parks or recreation facilities you have visited?**

\_\_\_\_(4) Excellent      \_\_\_\_ (3) Good      \_\_\_\_ (2) Fair      \_\_\_\_ (1) Poor

**4b. Please CHECK ALL the reasons why you have not visited or do not visit parks or recreation facilities offered by Si View MPD more often. [Check all that apply.]**

- (01) Use other city, state, county, or private facilities
- (02) Too far from home
- (03) Not aware of park or facility locations
- (04) Parks/Facilities are not well maintained
- (05) Old and outdated facilities
- (06) Lack of features we want to use
- (07) Lack of parking
- (08) Do not feel safe using parks/facilities
- (09) Lack of ADA accessibility
- (10) Facility equipment doesn't meet my needs
- (11) Do not feel welcomed/accommodated
- (12) Lack of transportation
- (13) Lack of restrooms
- (14) Language/Cultural barriers/age barriers
- (15) Fees are too high/lack of financial assistance
- (16) None; we visit frequently and there are no barriers
- (17) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**5. How do you currently learn about the programs and services that are offered by the Si View MPD? [Check ALL that apply.]**

- (01) Si View Metro Parks Seasonal Activity Guide
- (02) Si View Metro Parks website
- (03) Si View Metro Parks emails
- (04) School district communications
- (05) Program fliers/registration forms
- (06) From friends and neighbors
- (07) Snoqualmie Valley Record (newspaper)
- (08) Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Nextdoor)
- (09) At community events
- (10) Conversations with staff
- (11) Community calendars
- (12) Recreation center bulletin boards
- (13) Signage/Flyers at parks
- (14) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**6. Which THREE of the sources of information do you MOST PREFER to use to get information about Si View MPD programs and services? [Write in your answers below using the numbers from the list in Question 5, or circle "NONE."]**

1st: \_\_\_\_ 2nd: \_\_\_\_ 3rd: \_\_\_\_ NONE

**7. Please rate your satisfaction on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," with the following services provided by Si View MPD.**

How satisfied are you with...	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
01. Amount of indoor recreation space	5	4	3	2	1	9
02. Amount of rentable indoor recreation/meeting space	5	4	3	2	1	9
03. Proximity to recreation spaces (indoor and outdoor)	5	4	3	2	1	9
04. Amount of pool/aquatic facility space	5	4	3	2	1	9
05. Maintenance of parks (Si View Park, Tollgate Farm Park, Torguson Park, etc.)	5	4	3	2	1	9
06. Amount of natural open space	5	4	3	2	1	9
07. Number of community parks (i.e., greater than 5 acres)	5	4	3	2	1	9
08. Number of trails/pathways	5	4	3	2	1	9
09. Park/facility accessibility (ADA compliant access)	5	4	3	2	1	9
10. Quality of programs and services	5	4	3	2	1	9
11. Quality of the Si View Pool	5	4	3	2	1	9
12. Selection/Diversity of recreation programs	5	4	3	2	1	9
13. User friendliness of website	5	4	3	2	1	9
14. Public river access	5	4	3	2	1	9

**8. Which THREE of the items from the list in Question 7 should receive the MOST EMPHASIS over the next five years? [Write in your answers below using the numbers from the list in Question 7, or circle "NONE."]**

1st: \_\_\_\_ 2nd: \_\_\_\_ 3rd: \_\_\_\_ NONE

**9. Please CHECK ALL of the organizations you or members of your household use for recreation programs and facilities. [Check all that apply.]**

- (01) City of Issaquah Parks & Community Services
- (02) City of North Bend
- (03) City of Snoqualmie
- (04) King County Parks
- (05) Seattle Parks and Recreation
- (06) Seattle Public Utilities (manages Rattlesnake Lake)
- (07) Si View Metro Parks
- (08) Snoqualmie Valley School District programs
- (09) US Forest Service
- (10) Washington State Parks
- (11) YMCAs
- (12) Community non-profit programs or leagues
- (13) Private sport leagues
- (14) Private fitness centers
- (15) Private outdoor recreation guides/companies
- (16) Libraries
- (17) Churches
- (18) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**9a. Please CHECK ALL the program, service, and facility types you and the members of your household use from organizations OTHER THAN SI VIEW MPD you indicated you use for recreation programs and facilities in Question 9. [Check all that apply.]**

- (01) Walking/Hiking/Biking on trails
- (02) Participating in self-led programs
- (03) Participating in group activities
- (04) Attending a community event
- (05) Visiting a park playground
- (06) Swimming
- (07) Organized sports-not in a league
- (08) League sports
- (09) Exercise
- (10) Wildlife viewing
- (11) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**10. Please CHECK ALL the reasons why you or members of your household use organizations OTHER THAN SI VIEW MPD for indoor and outdoor recreation activities. [Check all that apply.]**

- (01) Program not offered by Si View
- (02) Programs are of higher quality
- (03) Facility not offered by Si View
- (04) Closer to residence
- (05) Friends/Family participate there
- (06) Programs fit my budget
- (07) Better customer service by staff
- (08) Program times are more convenient
- (09) Program days are more convenient
- (10) Registration process is easier
- (11) More parking
- (12) Childcare available
- (13) Easier/Better transportation
- (14) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**11. The following is a list of areas Si View MPD could look at addressing in order to improve the overall parks and recreation system. Please indicate how important each area is to you and the members of your household by circling the corresponding number to the right.**

Types of Improvements		Very Supportive	Somewhat Supportive	Not Sure	Not Supportive
1.	Creating public river access through land acquisition, partnerships, working with private land owners, and/or easements	4	3	2	1
2.	Acquiring additional lands for conservation	4	3	2	1
3.	Acquiring additional lands for active recreation experiences (e.g., ballfields, playgrounds, Pickleball, skateparks, bike parks, dog parks)	4	3	2	1
4.	Acquiring additional lands for passive recreation experiences (e.g., hiking, mountain biking, water-based activities, general park uses)	4	3	2	1
5.	Linking greenspaces via trails, pathways, and greenways	4	3	2	1
6.	Pursuing public-private partnerships on park land (e.g., rentals, leases, sponsorships)	4	3	2	1
7.	Increasing carrying capacity/expanding current infrastructure use by making improvements such as field lighting, synthetic turf conversions, expanding community buildings, site furnishings	4	3	2	1
8.	Other: _____	4	3	2	1

**12. Which THREE areas from the list in Question 11 are MOST IMPORTANT to your household? [Write in your answers below using the numbers from the list in Question 11, or circle "NONE."]**

1st: \_\_\_\_ 2nd: \_\_\_\_ 3rd: \_\_\_\_ NONE

**13. Which THREE areas from the list in Question 11 are you MOST WILLING to support with your tax dollars? [Write in your answers below using the numbers from the list in Question 11, or circle "NONE."]**

1st: \_\_\_\_ 2nd: \_\_\_\_ 3rd: \_\_\_\_ NONE

**14. A variety of recreation facilities and amenities are listed below that are already offered in the area or may be offered in the future. Please indicate how well your needs for each facility or amenity are met on a scale of 1 to 4, where 4 means your needs are "Fully Met" and 1 means your needs are "Not Met" at all. If you do not have a need for one of the items listed, please circle 9 for "No Need."**

Facilities and Amenities		Fully Met	Mostly Met	Partly Met	Not Met	No Need
01.	Active adults indoor and outdoor activity areas (50+)	4	3	2	1	9
02.	Amphitheaters	4	3	2	1	9
03.	Community gardens	4	3	2	1	9
04.	Community recreation building/space	4	3	2	1	9
05.	Diamond sports fields (e.g., baseball, softball)	4	3	2	1	9
06.	Disc golf courses	4	3	2	1	9
07.	Esports/Gaming space	4	3	2	1	9
08.	Extreme sports (e.g., skate parks/pump tracks)	4	3	2	1	9
09.	Fishing areas	4	3	2	1	9
010.	History museum and heritage sites	4	3	2	1	9
11.	Indoor fitness and wellness spaces	4	3	2	1	9
12.	Indoor pool space	4	3	2	1	9
13.	Indoor sports courts or other indoor sporting practice facilities	4	3	2	1	9
14.	Large community parks (regional parks that draw in users from the area)	4	3	2	1	9
15.	Natural areas (mostly naturally wooded, wetlands areas, or grassland areas that are left natural)	4	3	2	1	9
16.	Neighborhood parks (smaller parks within a 10-minute walk of neighborhoods/residential areas)	4	3	2	1	9
17.	Off-leash dog parks	4	3	2	1	9
18.	Outdoor basketball courts	4	3	2	1	9
19.	Outdoor fitness equipment	4	3	2	1	9
20.	Public art and art galleries (indoor/outdoor)	4	3	2	1	9
21.	Paved, soft-surface, and natural trails	4	3	2	1	9
22.	Performance and rehearsal spaces (dance, theater, music, poetry)	4	3	2	1	9
23.	Pickleball courts (indoor and outdoor)	4	3	2	1	9
24.	Picnic areas and park shelters	4	3	2	1	9
25.	Playground areas	4	3	2	1	9
26.	Rectangular sports fields (e.g., soccer, football, flag football, lacrosse)	4	3	2	1	9
27.	Splash pads/interactive water play or features	4	3	2	1	9
28.	Teen indoor and outdoor activity areas	4	3	2	1	9
29.	Other: _____	4	3	2	1	9

**15. Which FOUR of the facilities and amenities listed above do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household? [Write in your answers below using the numbers from the list in Question 14. If you don't believe any of these are important, circle "NONE."]**

1st: \_\_\_\_ 2nd: \_\_\_\_ 3rd: \_\_\_\_ 4th: \_\_\_\_ NONE

16. A variety of recreation programs and activities are listed below that are already offered in the area or may be offered in the future. Please indicate how well your needs for each program or activity are met on a scale of 1 to 4, where 4 means your needs are "Fully Met" and 1 means your needs are "Not Met" at all. If you do not have a need for one of the items listed, please circle 9 for "No Need."

Programs and Activities	Fully Met	Mostly Met	Partly Met	Not Met	No Need
01. Adaptive recreation (programs/activities for persons with disabilities)	4	3	2	1	9
02. Adult programs (18+)	4	3	2	1	9
03. Adult sports leagues and tournaments	4	3	2	1	9
04. Agriculture education and community gardens	4	3	2	1	9
05. Aquatics programs (swim lessons, lap swim, competitions, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
06. Before and after school programs	4	3	2	1	9
07. Community events (concerts, festivals, holiday events, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
08. Enrichment/Special interest programs (language, cooking, photo, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
09. Experiential education (citizen science, first aid, survival, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
10. Extreme sports (skateboarding, BMX, rock climbing, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
11. Farmers Market	4	3	2	1	9
12. Fitness and wellness programs (group fitness, nutrition, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
13. Historical programs (classes, events, tours, living history, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
14. Nature programs (bird watching, stewardship programs, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
15. Outdoor programming in parks (fitness, yoga, gatherings, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
16. Outdoor recreation: land-based (hiking, cycling, mountain biking, camping, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
17. Outdoor recreation: water-based (kayaking, paddle boarding, rafting, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
18. Parent and child/family programs	4	3	2	1	9
19. Performing arts programs (dance, music, theatre, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
20. Preschool programs	4	3	2	1	9
21. Programs with your pet (obedience classes, agility courses, dog park programming, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
22. Recreation trips (day, overnight)	4	3	2	1	9
23. Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) programs	4	3	2	1	9
24. Senior programs (50+)	4	3	2	1	9
25. Summer day camp programs	4	3	2	1	9
26. Technology-based programs (E-sports, Pokémon GO, geocaching, etc.)	4	3	2	1	9
27. Teen (13-17) programs	4	3	2	1	9
28. Visual arts (arts and crafts classes)	4	3	2	1	9
29. Youth sports leagues, tournaments, and camps	4	3	2	1	9
30. Other:	4	3	2	1	9

17. Which FOUR of the programs or activities listed above do you think are MOST IMPORTANT to you and the members of your household? [Write in your answers below using the numbers from the list in Question 16. If you don't believe any of these are important, circle "NONE."]

1st: \_\_\_\_ 2nd: \_\_\_\_ 3rd: \_\_\_\_ 4th: \_\_\_\_ NONE

**18. If you had an additional \$100, how would you allocate the funds among the parks and recreation categories listed below? [Please be sure your total adds up to \$100.]**

- \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Address deferred maintenance of existing parks, trails, and facilities (deferred maintenance refers to postponed maintenance activities that are necessary to the upkeep of the system)
- \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Acquire land for new parks and open space
- \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Update/Renovate existing facilities such as community centers, pools, playgrounds, splash pads, etc.
- \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Develop new trails and greenways
- \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Expand recreation programs and event offerings

**\$100 total**

**19. Please rate your satisfaction on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," with the overall value your household receives from Si View MPD.**

- \_\_\_\_(5) Very satisfied                      \_\_\_\_ (3) Neutral                      \_\_\_\_ (1) Very dissatisfied
- \_\_\_\_(4) Satisfied                              \_\_\_\_ (2) Dissatisfied                      \_\_\_\_ (9) Don't know

**Demographics**                      Our final questions are about you and the members of your household. Your individual responses will remain anonymous.

**20. What is your age?**                      \_\_\_\_\_ years

**21. Your gender:**                      \_\_\_\_ (1) Male                      \_\_\_\_ (2) Female                      \_\_\_\_ (3) Prefer to self-describe: \_\_\_\_\_

**22. Which of the following best describes your race? [Check all that apply.]**

- \_\_\_\_ (01) Asian or Asian Indian                      \_\_\_\_ (05) Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
- \_\_\_\_ (02) Black or African American                      \_\_\_\_ (06) Hispanic, Spanish, or Latino/a/x
- \_\_\_\_ (03) American Indian or Alaska Native                      \_\_\_\_ (99) Other: \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_ (04) White or Caucasian

**23. How many years have you lived in the Si View Metro Parks District?**                      \_\_\_\_\_ years

**24. What is your total annual household income?**

- \_\_\_\_ (1) Under \$35,000                      \_\_\_\_ (3) \$70,000 to \$99,999                      \_\_\_\_ (5) \$135,000 to \$169,999
- \_\_\_\_ (2) \$35,000 to \$69,999                      \_\_\_\_ (4) \$100,000 to \$134,999                      \_\_\_\_ (6) \$170,000 or more

**25. Please share any additional comments that could assist Si View MPD in better meeting your recreation program or facility needs.**

---



---

**26. Would you like to be entered for a chance to win one of five (5) prepaid \$100 Visa Gift Cards?**

- \_\_\_\_ (1) Yes [Answer 26a.]                      \_\_\_\_ (2) No

**26a. Please provide your contact information.**

Mobile Phone Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

**This concludes the survey. Thank you for your time!**

Please return your completed survey in the enclosed return-reply envelope addressed to:  
ETC Institute, 725 W. Frontier Circle, Olathe, KS 66061

Your response will remain completely confidential. The address information printed to the right will ONLY be used to help identify areas with special interests. Thank you.